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Comproportionation of the platinum(0) complex Pt(PMe3)4 with the platinum(II) hexafluoroacetetylacetone complexes Pt(hfac)2 or [Pt(hfac)(PMe3)2][hfac] yields diplatinum species of stoichiometry [Pt2(μ-hfac)(PMe3)4]-[hfac], 1, and [Pt2(PMe3)6][hfac]2, 2, respectively. The NMR spectra and X-ray crystal structure of 1 show that the two platinum centers are chemically inequivalent; one is a square-planar platinum(II) center, and the other is trigonal planar platinum(0) center. One of the hfac groups is anionic while the other bridges between the two platinum atoms. Each platinum center bears two PMe3 ligands, and the bridging hfac group is bound to one platinum center in the usual fashion through its two oxygen atoms. The bridging hfac group, however, is bound to the other platinum center as an η2 alkene ligand via its methine carbon and one of the two carbonyl carbons. The two platinum atoms do not interact directly with one another and are separated by 3.786(1) Å. The 1H NMR spectra of the hexakis(trimethylphosphine)diplatinum complex 2 are consistent with a structure in which the two platinum centers are connected by a Pt–Pt bond and the six PMe3 groups complete two mutually perpendicular square-planar coordination environments. Treatment of Pt(PMe3)4 with [Pt(PMe3)2][hfac]2 in tetrahedroform gives the platinum(II) hydride [PtH(PMe3)4][hfac], 3. The 1H and 31P{1H} NMR data of 3 are consistent with a trigonal bipyramidal structure; the hydride ligand occupies an axial position. The hydride ligand evidently arises from adventitious water. Thermolysis of 1 and 2 under vacuum gives the platinum(II) methyl complex [PtMe-(PMe3)2][hfac] as a result of the cleavage of one of the P–C bonds in the PMe3 ligands. Crystal data for 1 at −75 °C. triclinic, space group P1, a = 9.848(2) Å, b = 12.079(3) Å, c = 15.373(3) Å, α = 84.70(2)°, β = 78.57(2)°, γ = 80.30(2)°, V = 1763(7) Å3, Z = 2, RF = 0.043, Rwf = 0.044 for 400 variables and 3360 reflections with I > 2.5σ(I).

Introduction

The fabrication of metal and ceramic thin films by metal–organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) has been an area of active research in the past few years.1–5 The chemical vapor deposition of platinum group metals is of particular interest, because these metals have many potential uses as interconnects and contacts in microelectronic devices.6–11 We have previously described the preparation and reactivity of the palladium(I) complex [Pd3(PMe3)6][hfac]2 and an assessment of its potential as a source for the deposition of palladium films by CVD.12 Our interest in this dinuclear phosphine complex arose from an effort to develop and study new MOCVD methods that involve reox processes, in this case the disproportionation of PdI4 to Pd0 and PdII.12 We have extended our studies by investigating the preparation and reactivity of corresponding platinum(I) complexes. We now describe the synthesis and characterization of the novel dinuclear platinum complexes [Pt3(μ-hfac)(PMe3)4]-[hfac], 1, and [Pt2(PMe3)6][hfac]2, 2, and the platinum(II) hydride [PtH(PMe3)4][hfac], 3. The suitability of these complexes to serve as MOCVD precursors have been investigated; of interest in this context is their ability to activate the phosphorus–carbon bonds of the PMe3 ligands.

Results and Discussion

Before the synthesis and characterization of the new dinuclear platinum complexes are described, the preparation of several platinum(0) and platinum(II) starting materials will be discussed.

Synthesis of Tetrakis(trimethylphosphine)platinum(0), Pt(PMe3)4. The procedure employed for the synthesis of Pt(PMe3)4 is based on one of the recipes used to synthesize tetrakis(triethylphosphine)platinum(0), Pt(PEt3)4.13 Tetrakis(trimethylphosphine)platinum(0) is prepared by stirring a mixture of PMe3 and KOH in ethanol/water at 60 °C for 3 h. This procedure gives Pt(PMe3)4 in about 40% yield, which is

---


an improvement over the literature methods for the preparation of \( \text{Pt(PMMe}_3)_4 \). \(^{14,15}\) The product has been characterized by \(^1\text{H} \) and \( ^{31}\text{P}[^1\text{H}] \) NMR spectroscopy (see Experimental Section).

Synthesis and Characterization of Trimethylphosphine Adducts of Platinum(II) Hexafluoroacetylacetonate: \([\text{Pt(hfac)(PMe}_3)_2][\text{hfac}] \) and \([\text{Pt(PMMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}] \). The bis(trimethylphosphine) adduct \([\text{Pt(hfac)(PMe}_3)_2][\text{hfac}] \) is prepared by treatment of \([\text{Pt(hfac)}] \) with 2 equiv of \( \text{PMe}_3 \) in diethyl ether. The presence of two \( \text{C} = \text{O} \) stretches in its infrared spectrum clearly indicates that there are two hfac environments: The peak at 1673 \text{cm}^{-1} is due to an ionic hfac group, while the peak at 1637 \text{cm}^{-1} is assignable to a coordinated hfac group. The ionic nature of \([\text{Pt(hfac)(PMe}_3)_2][\text{hfac}] \) is further supported by its electrical conductivity of 30.0 \( \Omega^{-1} \) cm\(^{-1} \) in nitrobenzene, which is in the range of 20–30 \( \Omega^{-1} \) cm\(^{-1} \) for 1:1 electrolytes in this solvent. \(^{16}\) Undoubtedly, the platinum center in \([\text{Pt(hfac)}-(\text{PMe}_3)_2][\text{hfac}] \) adopts a square-planar structure and is bound to the oxygen atoms of the coordinated hfac group and to two \( \text{PMe}_3 \) groups. This complex is similar to other 2:1 Lewis base adducts of \( \text{Pt(hfac)}_2 \) that have been described. \(^{17,18}\) The \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum of \([\text{Pt(hfac)(PMe}_3)_2][\text{hfac}] \) shows a doublet at \( \delta \approx 22.3 \) and \( 
abla \approx 27.0 \). There is no coupling between these two \( \text{PMe}_3 \) environments, which suggests that there is no direct Pt—Pt bonding between the platinum centers in \( \text{I} \). A solution electrical conductivity measurement shows that \( \text{I} \) is a 1:1 electrolyte in methanol. We will show below that the bound hfac group exists in its enolic form and bridges between the two platinum centers. It is bound to one platinum center through its two oxygens and to the other through its olefinic carbons:

\[
\text{I}
\]

The IR spectrum of \( \text{I} \) is consistent with this structure. Although there is no readily identifiable band for the coordinated hfac group, there is a single \( \nu_{\text{CO}} \) band at 1670 cm\(^{-1} \) for the anionic hfac group. \(^{12,23–25}\) A hfac group \( \sigma \)-bound to a metal center through its methine carbon gives an IR stretch above 1700 cm\(^{-1} \), but for \( \text{I} \) the carbonyl stretch of the bridging hfac group in its enolic form should appear at somewhat lower frequency and may be obscured by the carbonyl stretch of the anionic hfac group.

X-ray Crystal Structure of \([\text{Pt}_2(\mu-\text{hfac})(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}] \). \(^{19,20}\) An X-ray single-crystal structure determination of \( \text{I} \) has been carried out in order to confirm its structure and to obtain the structural parameters characteristic of this new hfac bonding mode. Single crystals of \( \text{I} \), grown from tetrahydrofuran, crystallize in the triclinic space group \( \text{P}1 \) with two molecules in the unit cell. There is no crystallographic symmetry imposed on either of the two molecules, but they are related by the inversion center. Crystal data are presented in Table 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 2.

![Figure 1](image_url)

The IR spectrum of \( \text{I} \) shows an ORTEP drawing of the \([\text{Pt}_2(\mu-\text{hfac})(\text{PMe}_3)_4]^+ \) cation. Consistent with the spectroscopic data,
Table 1. Crystal Data for [Pt₂(μ-hfac)(PMe₃)₄][hfac], 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>9.848(2) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>12.079(3) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>15.373(3) Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>27 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>84.70(2)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>78.57(2)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>80.30(2)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μₜ₅₆₈</td>
<td>2.088 g cm⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μₜ₅₆₈</td>
<td>82.75 cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρₜ₅₆₈</td>
<td>0.398 – 0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁⁺</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z = 2

a R₁ = Σ|Fᵢ|/|Fᵢ| = Σ|Fᵢ|/|Fᵢ| for Pt(1)–O(1) and Pt(2)–O(2) distances of 2.023(9) and 2.050(9) Å, respectively, for Pt(1)–C(1) and Pt(2)–C(2) distances of 2.13(1) and 2.14(1) Å, respectively.

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances and Angles for [Pt₂(μ-hfac)(PMe₃)₄][hfac], 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Distances (Å)</th>
<th>Bond Angles (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–Pt(1)</td>
<td>95.5(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–Pt(2)</td>
<td>175.2(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–Pt(1)–O(1)</td>
<td>87.4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(2)–Pt(1)–O(1)</td>
<td>86.3(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–Pt(1)–O(2)</td>
<td>171.7(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–Pt(2)–O(2)</td>
<td>140.4(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(3)–Pt(2)–O(2)</td>
<td>120.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(2)–Pt(2)–O(2)</td>
<td>135.2(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–O(1)–Pt(2)</td>
<td>122.0(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–O(2)–C(1)</td>
<td>123.7(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(2)–C(1)–C(2)</td>
<td>111.7(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(2)–C(2)–C(3)</td>
<td>115.0(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–O(1)–Pt(1)</td>
<td>124.9(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(1)–O(2)–C(1)</td>
<td>122.1(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P(1)–Pt(1)–O(1) is 87.8°.

P(2)–Pt(2)–O(4) is 90°.

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of the [Pt₂(μ-hfac)(PMe₃)₄⁺]⁺ cation in 1. The ellipsoids represent the 35% probability density surfaces.

compound 1 contains two platinum centers bridged by a hfac group. The coordinated hfac group is bound to one platinum center through its two oxygen atoms and to the other platinum center through its methine and carbonyl carbons. The other hfac group serves as a counterion. Each platinum center is also coordinated to two PMe₃ ligands and adopts a square planar or trigonal planar geometry. There is no direct bonding between the Pt(1) and Pt(2) atoms; the Pt(1)–Pt(2) distance is 3.786 Å. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first well-established example of an acetylacetonato group bound to transition metals through its olefinic carbons in its enolic form. A few other examples of β-diketone ligands that bridge between two metals are known, but the bridging modes are very different from that in 1.²⁷,²⁸

Pt(1) and the four directly attached atoms are almost coplanar, and the distances of these atoms from the least-squares plane are 0.025, 0.101, –0.115, 0.116, and –0.127 Å for Pt(1), P(1), P(2), O(1), and O(2), respectively. The Pt(1)–O(1) and Pt(1)–O(2) distances of 2.023(9) and 2.050(9) Å, respectively, are shorter than the Pt–O distances reported for other platinum(II) acetylacetonate complexes.³⁰,³¹ The coordination of the hfac group to the Pt(1) center through its two oxygen atoms lengths the C–O distances, as expected. The average C–O distance in the coordinated hfac group is 1.34 Å while the average C–O distance in the anionic hfac group is 1.26 Å. The Pt(1)–O(1) and Pt(1)–O(2) distances (which average 2.22 Å) are well within the 2.13–2.32 Å range observed for Pt-P bonds in other known platinum(II) trimethylphosphine complexes.³²–³⁵

Pt(2) and its four coordinating atoms are also almost coplanar, as shown by the out-of-plane distances of –0.005, 0.047, –0.036, –0.108, and 0.101 Å for Pt(2), P(3), P(4), C(2), and C(3), respectively. The two square planes about Pt(1) and Pt(2) are essentially orthogonal and describe a dihedral angle of 87.8°. Undoubtedly, compound I adopts this arrangement in order to maximize the bonding to the bridging hfac group and to minimize steric repulsions. The Pt(2)–P(3) and Pt(2)–P(4) distances (which average 2.27 Å) are slightly longer than those to Pt(1).

A useful indicator of the binding mode of platinum olefin complexes is the dihedral angle between the plane defined by Pt and the two olefinic carbons and the plane defined by Pt and the other directly-bonded atoms.³⁴ For zerovalent platinum complexes of the type Pt(alkene)(PR₃)₂ (where R is an alkyl, aryl, or halo group), this dihedral angle is near zero; in contrast, for platinum(II) olefin complexes such as Zeise’s salt, this value is close to 90°.³⁴ The corresponding dihedral angle defined by the atoms bonded to Pt(2) is about 8°. This is within the range observed for other Pt(alkene)(PR₃)₂ complexes.³⁴ Therefore, compound I should be viewed as a mixed-valence diplatinum complex: Pt(1) is in the +2 oxidation state while Pt(2) is zerovalent.

As a result of its coordination to Pt(2), the C(2)–C(3) bond (1.43 Å) is longer than the C(3)–C(4) bond (1.37 Å). The C(2)–Pt(2)–C(3) angle of 38.5(5) Å is slightly smaller than the 40–43° values observed in other known zerovalent platinum alkene complexes. In other Pt(alkene)(PR3)2 species, there is often an inverse correlation between the length of the Pt–C bond and the length of the trans Pt–P bond.34 In 1, the two Pt(2)–C bond distances are equal within experimental error (2.18(1) Å) but the Pt(2)–P(3) and Pt(2)–P(4) bonds of 2.301(5) and 2.244(4) Å, respectively, are significantly different.

The anionic hfac group adopts a U-shaped structure similar to that found previously in several other hfac salts. The C5O2 skeleton of the hfac anion is essentially planar: The C–C–C–C–C and C–C–O–C–O torsion angles are all very near 0 or 180°, and the largest deviation of the five carbon and two oxygen atoms from the mean plane is only 0.026 Å. The two C–O distances of 1.23(2) and 1.28(2) Å and the two C–C distances to the methine carbon of 1.38(3) and 1.42(3) Å show that the hfac anion is symmetrical and that its π-system is delocalized.

**Dynamic Exchange Process in [Pt2(μ-hfac)(PMe3)4][hfac], 1.** The X-ray single-crystal structure determination shows that 1 is an unsymmetric molecule in the solid state and that there are four different PMe3 environments. In contrast, the room-temperature 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of 1 only shows two PMe3 environments, so that there must be a dynamic process which makes the PMe3 groups on each platinum center equivalent. In fact, the resonance at δ = −22.3 (which is probably due to the PMe3 groups on the Pt(2) atom) in the room temperature 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of 1 is rather broad. As the temperature is lowered, this resonance becomes even broader, but we have not been able to freeze out this dynamic process even at −90 °C. On the other hand, the resonance at δ = −27.0 is rather sharp throughout the temperature range studied; evidently the chemical shift difference between the two PMe3 groups on the Pt(1) center is smaller. Because rotation of the alkene group is a high-energy process in other zerovalent platinum complexes of the type Pt(alkene)(PR3)2,34 rotation of the C(2)–C(3) group around its bond to the Pt(2) center is unlikely to be the dynamic exchange process that 1 undergoes; furthermore, such a mechanism would not exchange the PMe3 groups on Pt(1). The only reasonable mechanism for this dynamic process is the hopping of the Pt(2) atom between the C(2)–C(3) and C(3)–C(4) bonds. Such a hopping process would make the PMe3 groups on each platinum center equivalent.

![Figure 2.](image)

Previously, the 195Pt satellite peaks for the resonance at δ = −27.0 become broad at low temperatures. We do not think that this is a result of slowing of the hopping process because the central peak at δ = −27.0 remains sharp at these temperatures. Instead, we believe that the broadening of the 195Pt satellite peaks arises from relaxation of the 195Pt nuclei via chemical shift anisotropy effects.35

**Synthesis and Characterization of [Pt2(PMe3)6][hfac], 2.** The comproportionation reaction between equal molar amounts of Pt(PMe3)4 and [Pt(PMe3)2(hfac)][hfac], a reaction in which there are a total of 3 equiv of PMe3 per metal center, yields a product different from that described in the preceding section. This preparation is similar to that of [Pd2(PMe3)6][hfac], and we will show below that the product, [Pt2(PMe3)6][hfac], 2, has a structure similar to that of its palladium analogue.

\[
\text{Pt(PMe3)}_4 + [\text{Pt(PMe3)}_2(\text{hfac})][\text{hfac}] \rightarrow [\text{Pt}(_2\text{PMe3})_6][\text{hfac}]_2
\]

The dimeric nature of 2 can be inferred from its diamagnetism and its electrical conductivity in methanol, which corresponds to that of a 2:1 electrolyte. The 1H NMR spectrum of 2 shows two PMe3 resonances: a pseudotriplet at δ 1.79 (J195Pt–P,+ + J195Pt–P,− = 5.5 Hz, J195Pt–P,− = 29.5 Hz) and a multiplet at δ 1.18 (J195Pt–P,− = 29.0 Hz) with relative intensities of 2:1 (Figure 2). The proton resonance for the anionic hfac groups appears as a singlet at δ 5.45. These spectroscopic data are very similar to those of [Pd2(PMe3)6][hfac]2. In view of the known crystal structures of the palladium complexes [Pd2(PMe3)6][hfac]2 and [Pd2(CNMe)6]2+,36 we conclude that 2 has a similar dimeric structure in which the two platinum centers are connected by a Pt–Pt bond and the six PMe3 groups occupy the terminal sites of two mutually perpendicular square-planar environments. The 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of 2 is rather complex because there are three 195Pt isotopologs present that correspond to the spin systems AA’B’B’2, ABC2D2M, and AA’B’B’2MM’, depending on how many 195Pt nuclei are present. We have successfully simulated the 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of 2 with a statistical distribution of these three spin systems based on the natural abundance of 195Pt (33.7%) (Figure 3). The three-bond phosphorus–phosphorus coupling constant between the two axial PMe3 groups (i.e., through the Pt–Pt bond) is 161 Hz. For comparison, the corresponding coupling constant is approximately 80 Hz in the dipalladium complex [Pd2(PMe3)6][hfac]2 and 185 Hz in the mixed-metal complex [PdPt(PMe3)6][hfac]2. The J195Pt–P,+ and J195Pt–P,− coupling constants (see Experimental Section) are similar to those reported for other dinuclear platinum(I) phosphine complexes.37,38 The J195Pt–P, coupling

constant of 1350 Hz falls in the 100–9000 Hz range reported for other diplatinum compounds.\(^\text{39}\) There is only one other example of a diplatinum(I) complex with six identical ligands. The hexakis(methylisonitrile)-diplatinum(I) dication, \([\text{Pt}_2(\text{CNMe})_6]^{2+}\), has been prepared by reduction of potassium tetrachloroplatinate(II) with methylisonitrile in aqueous solution.\(^\text{40}\)

Reaction of \(\text{Pt}(\text{PMe}_3)_4\) with \([\text{Pt}(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}]_2\): Isolation of the Platinum(II) Hydride \([\text{PtH}(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}]_2\), \(\text{3}\). The unexpected platinum(II) hydride complex \([\text{PtH}(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}]_2\), \(\text{3}\), is obtained when the reaction of equal molar quantities of \(\text{Pt}(\text{PMe}_3)_4\) and \([\text{Pt}(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}]_2\) is carried out in tetrahydrofuran.

\[
\text{Pt}(\text{PMe}_3)_4 + [\text{Pt}(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}]_2 \rightarrow [\text{PtH}(\text{PMe}_3)_4][\text{hfac}]_2
\]

The clearest evidence for the identification of \(\text{3}\) as a hydride comes from its \(^1\text{H}\) NMR and IR spectra. The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of \(\text{3}\) at room temperature features a signal at \(\delta = -13.37\) that is coupled to the \(^{195}\text{Pt}\) center with a \(J_{\text{Pt-H}}\) coupling constant of 600 Hz. Both the chemical shift and the \(J_{\text{Pt-H}}\) coupling constant are within the ranges observed for other known platinum(II) hydride complexes.\(^\text{41–48}\) No coupling to the \(^{31}\text{P}\) nuclei is observed because dissociation of the phosphine ligands is fast at 25 °C (see below). The IR spectrum of \(\text{3}\) shows a characteristic Pt–H stretch at 2055 cm\(^{-1}\). This frequency is consistent with the Pt–H stretching frequencies of other known platinum(II) hydride complexes.\(^\text{41–48}\)

The \(^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}\) NMR spectrum of \(\text{3}\) at \(-110 \degree \text{C}\) shows two sets of \(\text{PMe}_3\) environments in an intensity ratio of 1:3 (Figure 4). These spectroscopic data are most consistent with a structure in which the platinum atom adopts a trigonal bipyramidal geometry with the hydride ligand occupying an axial position:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{PMe}_3 \\
\text{PMe}_3 \\
\text{Me}_3\text{P} \\
\text{Me}_3\text{P} \\
\text{H}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Pt} \\
\text{Pt}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{hfac}
\]

3

There are several examples of five-coordinate platinum(II) monohydride complexes. English et al. first observed that, in the presence of excess \(\text{PEt}_3\), the hydridotris(triethylphosphine)-platinum(II) cation, \([\text{PH}(\text{PEt}_3)_3][\text{BPh}_4]_2\), is in equilibrium with the hydridotetrais(triethylphosphine)platinum(II) cation, \([\text{PH}(\text{PEt}_3)_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2\), in CHClF\(_2\) at very low temperatures (< -130


\(\text{39}\) Garth Kidd, R.; Goodfellow, R. J. In NMR and the Periodic Table; Harris, R. K., Mann, B. E., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1978; Table 8.27.


we propose that 3 undergoes intermolecular exchange via a similar dissociative mechanism:

$$\text{[PtH(PMe_3)_4][hfac]} \rightleftharpoons \text{[PtH(PMe_3)_3][hfac] + PMe_3}$$

Consistent with this proposition, the $^{31}\text{P}{}^1(\text{H})$ NMR chemical shift of 3 above $-40^\circ \text{C}$ is temperature dependent and moves to higher frequency as the temperature is increased. This behavior can be explained by assuming that the concentrations of the hydridotris(trimethylphosphine)platinum cation and free PMe_3 increase at higher temperatures and that the phosphorus chemical shift of the tris(phosphine) complex [PtH(PMe_3)_3]- [hfac] is deshielded relative to that of the tetras(phosphine) complex 3.

We have also been able to prepare compound 3 by addition of excess PMe_3 to a solution of the bridging hfac complex 1 in tetrahydrofuran.

$$\text{[Pt}_2\text{(hfac)(PMe}_3\text{)_4][hfac] + excess PMe}_3 \rightarrow \text{[PtH(PMe}_3\text{)_4][hfac]}$$

\[ 3 \]

In situ NMR experiments suggest that the hydride source in this reaction is adventitious water. When the reaction of 1 with PMe_3 is carried out in a septum-capped NMR tube in tetrahydrofuran, the initial NMR spectrum contains no resonances assignable to either [PtH(PMe_3)_3][hfac] or [Pt(PMe_3)_3][hfac]. When the NMR tube is allowed to stand at 25 °C overnight, however, [PtH(PMe_3)_3][hfac] forms and eventually becomes the only product observed in solution. The conversion proceeds more quickly by addition of small amounts of water to the NMR tube.

We have also prepared the tetraphenylborate salt of 3 via anion metathesis. The hfac anion in 3 can be replaced by stirring a mixture of 3 and NaBPh_4 in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature for 12 h, followed by recrystallization from a mixture of dichloromethane/diethyl ether.

Behavior of [Pt_2(\mu-hfac)(PMe_3)_4][hfac], 1, upon Thermal Cleavage: Cleavage of Phosphorus–Carbon Bonds. Due to its ionic nature, 1 is not appreciably volatile. Interestingly, however, when compound 1 is heated to 140 °C at 10^{-3} Torr in a sublimator, a light yellow, oily sublimate condenses on the cold finger. $^1\text{H}$ and $^{31}\text{P}{}^1(\text{H})$ NMR spectroscopy shows that the sublimate is in fact a mixture of the platinum(II) methyl complex [PtMe(PMe_3)_3][hfac] (50 mol %) and the platinum(II) trimethylphosphine complex [Pt(hfac)(PMe_3)_3][hfac] (50 mol %). The spectroscopic data for [PtMe(PMe_3)_3][hfac] have been given in our previous paper,12 and they are similar to those of the methylplatinum(II) cations [PMeL_3]^+ (where L is PPh_3 or PMe_2Ph).52,53 This thermolysis result shows that platinum can activate the phosphorus–methyl bonds of PMe_3 ligands.

Above $-40^\circ \text{C}$, however, the coupling to the platinum nucleus is lost. This result strongly suggests that 3 undergoes a second dynamic process involving intermolecular exchange with free PMe_3. The triethylphosphine analogue [PtH(PPh_3)_3][BPh_4] is only stable at very low temperatures and dissociates to give the hydridotris(triethylphosphine)platinum(II) complex [PtH(PPh_3)_3][BPh_4] above $-100^\circ \text{C}$,42 on the basis of this precedent,


bond of an alkylphosphine leads to a product in which the alkyl group is retained.\textsuperscript{12} Our study of the chemistry of palladium PMe\textsubscript{3} compounds led us to predict that P–C bond cleavage could lead to incorporation of phosphorus and carbon impurities in films grown by chemical vapor deposition from precursors containing tertiary phosphine ligands,\textsuperscript{12} and this prediction has recently been confirmed experimentally.\textsuperscript{54} For [Pd(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}][hfac],\textsuperscript{2} we suggested that the activation of P–C bonds occurs via disproportionation back to Pd\textsuperscript{0} and Pd\textsuperscript{II} species and that the former are responsible for the activation chemistry. The existence of Pd\textsuperscript{0} was confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.

\section*{Experimental Section}

All operations were carried out under vacuum or under argon. Solvents were distilled under nitrogen from sodium benzenophene (pentane, diethyl ether, and tetrahydrofuran), magnesium (methanol), or calcium hydride (dichloromethane). Tetrahydrofuran-d\textsubscript{6} (Cambridge Isotopes) was dried by passage through a dehydrated alumina column inside a drybox. Sodium tetracyanoborate (Morton-Thiokol) was dried in a vacuum oven at 150 °C before use. Trimethylphosphine\textsuperscript{55} and bis(hexafluoroacetylacetone)platinum(II)\textsuperscript{56} were prepared by following literature procedures.

Elemental analyses were performed by the University of Illinois Microanalytical Laboratory. The IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 599B instrument as Nujol mulls between KBr plates. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR data were recorded on a General Electric GN-500 spectrometer at 500 MHz, on a General Electric QE-300 spectrometer at 300 MHz, or on a General Electric GN-300N spectrometer at 300 MHz; the \textsuperscript{31}P NMR data were recorded on a General Electric GN-300N spectrometer at 121 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in \textdelta \ units (positive shifts to high frequency) relative to TMS (\textdelta \textsubscript{\textsuperscript{1}H}) or 85% H\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (\textdelta \textsubscript{31}P).

The simulation of the phosphorus line shape of complex \textsuperscript{2} was carried out with the program NUTS available from AcornNMR. Melting points were determined on a Thomas–Hoover Unimelt apparatus in sealed capillaries under argon. Solution conductivities were measured at room temperature with a YSI Scientific Model 35 conductance meter and a YSI Scientific Model 35 conductance meter and a YSI Scientific Model 35 conductance meter and a YSI Scientific Model 35 conductance meter. The 1 H spectrum due to the doubly \textsuperscript{195}Pt-labeled isotopolog were insensitive to the magnitude of 1 H Pt spin–lattice relaxation time.

\section*{Tetrakis(trimethylphosphine)platinum(II) \textsubscript{1.1.1.3,3,3-Hexafluoropentane-2,4-dionate, [Pt(PMe\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}][hfac]. To a solution of Pt(hfac)\textsubscript{2} (0.29 g, 0.48 mmol) in diethyl ether (30 mL) was added PMe\textsubscript{3} (0.20 mL, 2.0 mmol). The solution immediately became colorless, and a white precipitate began to form. After the mixture had been stirred at room temperature for 1 h, the white precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (5 mL), and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.40 g (91%).


was added. The solution was then cooled to -20 °C to afford colorless microcrystals of the product. Yield: 0.15 g (48%). Mp: 161-165 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd (found) for \( \text{C}_17\text{H}_{38}\text{F}_6\text{O}_2\text{P}_4\text{Pt}: \) C, 28.9 (29.1); H, 17.5 (15.9); Pt, 27.6 (27.0). Molar conductivity (methanol, 10⁻³ M): 82 Q² cm⁻¹ mol⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C): \( \delta \) 5.41 (br s, 1 H, HC(COCF₃))₂), 1.60 (d, \( J_{P-H} = 8.4 \) Hz, 36 H, PMe₃), -13.37 (s, \( J_{P-H} = 600 \) Hz, 1 H, Pt-H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 0 °C): \( \delta \) -51.2 (br s). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, -110 °C): \( \delta \) -49.3 (t, \( J_{P-P} = 30 \) Hz, \( J_{P-P} = 1906 \) Hz, 1 P, axial PMe₃). -53.4 (t, \( J_{P-P} = 30 \) Hz, \( J_{P-P} = 2741 \) Hz, 3 P, equatorial PMe₃). IR (cm⁻¹): 2055 (s), 1670 (s), 1562 (vs), 1524 (s), 1508 (w), 1427 (m), 1309 (m), 1290 (m), 1241 (s), 1150 (vs, vbr), 1065 (w), 944 (vs), 850 (s), 730 (s), 675 (s), 657 (s), 572 (s), 522 (w).

**Hydridotetraakis(trimethylphosphine)platinum(II) (Tetraphenylborate, [PtH(PMe₃)₄][BPh₄]).** A sample of [Pt₂(hfac)(PMe₃)₄][hfac]; an ORTEP diagram of the hfac anion, and of the variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of [PtH(PMe₃)₄][BPh₄].

**Crystallographic Studies.** Single crystals of [Pt₂(µ-hfac)(PMe₃)₄][hfac], 1, grown from tetrahydrofuran, were mounted on glass fibers with Paratone-N oil (Exxon) and immediately cooled to -75 °C in a cold nitrogen gas stream on the diffractometer. Standard peak search and indexing procedures gave rough cell dimensions, and the diffraction symmetry was confirmed by inspection of the axial photographs. Least-squares refinement using 25 reflections yielded the cell dimensions given in Table 1.

Data were collected in two quadrants of reciprocal space (\(-h\pm k\pm l\)) by using the measurement parameters listed in Table 1. The triclinic cell was consistent with space groups P1 and P1. The average values of the normalized structure factors suggested the centric choice P1, which was confirmed by successful refinement of the proposed model. The measured intensities were reduced to structure factor amplitudes and their esd’s by correction for background, scan speed, Lorentz, and polarization effects. While corrections for crystal decay were unnecessary, absorption corrections were applied, the maximum and minimum transmission factors being 0.629 and 0.398. Seven questionable reflections with asymmetric peaks were deleted. Symmetry-equivalent reflections were averaged to yield the set of unique data. Only those data with \( I > 2.58 \sigma (I) \) were used in the least-squares refinement.

The structure was solved using direct methods (SHELXS-86) using Patterson and weighted difference Fourier methods. The correct positions for the platinum atoms were deduced from a vector map. Subsequent least-squares refinement and difference Fourier calculations revealed the positions of the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were included as fixed contributors in “idealized” positions with C-H = 0.96 Å (H3 never surfaced and was not included in the structure factor calculations). The quantity minimized by the least-squares program was \( \sum w(\delta F)^2 \), where \( w = 2.39(\sigma(F)^2 + p^2 F^2) \). The analytical approximations to the scattering factors were used, and all structure factors were corrected for both real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. In the final cycle of least squares, anisotropic thermal coefficients were refined for the non-hydrogen atoms; an independent isotropic thermal parameter was refined for H8, and a common group isotropic thermal parameter was refined for the remaining hydrogen atoms. An empirical isotropic extinction parameter was refined to a final value of 4.6(5) × 10⁻³. Successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.003 for the last cycle. Final refinement parameters are given in Table 1. The largest peaks in the final difference Fourier difference map (1.24 Å⁻³) were located in the vicinity of the platinum atoms. A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed a slight dependence on \( \theta \).
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