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Treatment of the trichlorides EuCl\(_3\) and YbCl\(_3\) with Na\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) results in a reduction to the corresponding divalent europium and ytterbium \(N,N\)-dimethylaminodiboranate (DMADB) complexes Eu\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\)(THF)\(_2\) (1) and Yb\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\)(THF)\(_2\) (2), which can be separated from trivalent Ln-\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\)(THF) byproducts by extraction and crystallization from pentane. No other lanthanide trihalides react with Na\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\) to afford divalent products. Compounds 1 and 2 can also be prepared from the divalent lanthanide iodides Eu\(_2\) and Yb\(_2\) in higher yield and without the need to separate them from trivalent species. Treatment of 1 and 2 with an excess of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) in pentane affords the new species Eu\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\)(DME)\(_2\) (3) and Yb\((H_2BNMe_2BH_3)\)(DME)\(_2\) (4). Compound 1 is dinuclear: each metal center is bound to two chelating DMADB ligands, one of which also bridges to the other metal. Overall, the coordination geometry about each Eu atom can be described as a distorted pentagonal bipyramid, with five B atoms from the DMADB ligands occupying the equatorial sites and two THF molecules occupying the axial sites. Unlike 1, compound 2 is monomeric owing to the smaller radius of Yb\(^{II}\) versus Eu\(^{II}\); the B and O atoms describe a distorted cis octahedron. The Eu(DME) complex 3 is also monomeric; both DMADB ligands and both DME molecules chelate to the metal center. The four B atoms and the four O atoms describe a distorted square antiprism, with the O atoms occupying one square face and the B atoms occupying the other. In addition to X-ray crystallographic studies, IR, NMR, and mass spectrometric data are reported for all four new compounds.

Introduction

Although the 3+ oxidation state dominates the solution chemistry of the lanthanide elements, it has been known since 1906 that some of the lanthanides also have accessible divalent oxidation states.\(^1\) In recent years, there has been a remarkable expansion in the availability of lanthanide dihalo- 

lides starting materials;\(^5\)\(^\text{-}^6\) as a result, divalent complexes are now known for many of the lanthanides.\(^7\)\(^\text{-}^{11}\) In addition, divalent species such as Sm\(^{II}\) find use in organic syntheses as powerful one-electron reductants; for example, they are widely used to promote the coupling of alkyl halides with ketones to form tertiary alcohols.\(^12\)\(^\text{-}^{18}\)

The 2+ oxidation state of lanthanides can be accessed either by oxidation of the bulk metal or by reduction of trivalent lanthanide species. The reduction of Ln\(^{III}\) to Ln\(^{II}\) can be accomplished by comproportionation reactions involving Ln\(^0\) metal; alternatively, such reductions can be achieved by the addition of an alkali metal.\(^19\)\(^\text{-}^{20}\) There are also a few examples in which the reduction of Ln\(^{III}\) to Ln\(^{II}\) is effected by a reagent that serves both as a reductant and as a

\(^{1}\) Matignon, C.; Cazes, E. C. R. 1906, 142, 83–85.


ligand for the metal center; this approach invariably involves the most easily reduced lanthanides Eu, Yb, and Sm.\textsuperscript{23} For example, reactions of europium(III) halides with bulky cyclopentadienide anions or of europium(III) metalloocene halides with alkylithium reagents can afford organometallic compounds of Eu\textsuperscript{2–25}. The Yb\textsuperscript{II} complex ([C\(_6\)H\(_5\)Me\(_2\)]\(_2\)YbMe\(_2\)) slowly reduces to the corresponding (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)Me\(_2\))\(_2\)Yb complex upon being heated to 80 °C or photolyzed in toluene.\textsuperscript{26} The reactions of Ln[N(SiMe\(_3\))\(_2\)]\(_3\)(μ-Cl)Li(THF)\(_3\), where Ln = Eu or Yb, with indenes or fluorenes bearing pendant amine or ether functional groups, yield the corresponding lanthanide(II) metalloccenes.\textsuperscript{27–33} Similarly, treatment of the substituted benzyl complex Sm(CH\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_4\)-2-Me)\(_2\) with indenes or fluorenes has been generated via the divalent intermediate Ln(C\(_5\)Me\(_5\))\(_2\).\textsuperscript{34–39}

A closely related phenomenon is sterically induced reduction,\textsuperscript{9–11,39,40} which is characteristic of tris(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) complexes Ln(C\(_5\)Me\(_5\))\(_3\). The C\(_5\)Me\(_5\) ligand is not usually reductive, but the Ln(C\(_5\)Me\(_5\))\(_3\) complexes are sufficiently crowded that there is a strong driving force to eliminate one of the rings. As a result, these complexes react with various substrates to give products that appear to have been generated via the divalent intermediate Ln(C\(_5\)Me\(_5\))\(_2\).\textsuperscript{41–45}

All of the above reactions involve organic ligands, but there are other chemical groups that can also serve both as a reductant and as a ligand. Prominent among these is tetrahydroborate, BH\(_4\)\(^{-}\), a ligand known for its reducing power.\textsuperscript{46,47} For example, treatment of most lanthanide trichlorides with NaBH\(_4\) results in a reduction to the corresponding divalent trichloride complexes, but EuCl\(_3\) is reduced to Eu\(_2\).\textsuperscript{48} The Ln\(_{II}\) complexes Ln(BH\(_4\))\(_2\)(THF)\(_2\), where Ln = Eu or Yb, and Sm, have been prepared by decomposing NaLn(BH\(_4\))(DME)\(_4\) at 150–200 °C under a dynamic vacuum.\textsuperscript{49}

Most divalent lanthanide borohydride complexes, however, are synthesized from divalent starting materials. For example, the pyridine and acetonitrile complexes Ln(BH\(_4\))\(_2\)-py\(_2\) and Ln(BH\(_4\))(MeCN)\(_4\) have been prepared by treating EuCl\(_2\) and YbCl\(_2\) with NaBH\(_4\).\textsuperscript{50} Similar reactions afford Eu\(_{II}\) and Yb\(_{II}\) complexes of the organohydroborate H\(_2\)BC\(_5\)H\(_4\)(H-BNN-BH\(_3\))\(_{2}\).\textsuperscript{51} In addition, the heteroleptic ytterbium pyrazolylborate (Tp\(_{\text{Bu,Me}}\)Yb(BH\(_4\))\(_2\)) has been prepared by metathesis from (Tp\(_{\text{Bu,Me}}\)Yb(BH\(_4\)) and also by the addition of BH\(_3\)-NMe\(_2\) to the ytterbium hydride ([Tb\(_{\text{Bu,Me}}\)Yb(BH\(_4\))]\(_2\)).\textsuperscript{52} Finally, one divalent lanthanide tetrahydroborate has been prepared by oxidation of the metal: the reaction of ytterbium amalgam with BH\(_4\), THF affords a mixture of Yb(BH\(_4\))\(_2\) and Yb(B\(_2\)H\(_4\)).\textsuperscript{53}

We have recently been exploring the chemistry of a new class of multidentate borohydride ligands known as the aminodiboranates.\textsuperscript{54–58} We have previously shown that N,N-dimethylaminodiborininate (DMADB) complexes of trivalent lanthanide are highly volatile and useful as chemical vapor deposition and atomic layer deposition precursors to lanthanide-containing thin films.\textsuperscript{59} We now describe the synthesis, characterization, and molecular structures of divalent lanthanide N,N-dimethylaminodiboraninates. In several of these reactions, the DMADB ligand serves simultaneously as a ligand and as a reductant.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of Ln(H\(_2\)BNMe\(_2\)BH\(_3\))\(_2\)(THF)\(_2\) and Ln(H\(_3\)BNMe\(_2\)BH\(_3\))\(_2\)(DME)\(_2\) where Ln = Eu and Yb.** Treatment of the trichlorides EuCl\(_3\) or YbCl\(_3\) with Na(H\(_3\)BNMe\(_2\)BH\(_3\)) in THF results in a reduction to the corresponding divalent europium and ytterbium N,N-dimethylaminodiborinate complexes Eu(H\(_3\)BNMe\(_2\)BH\(_3\))\(_2\)(THF)\(_2\) (1) and Yb(H\(_3\)BNMe\(_2\)BH\(_3\))\(_2\)(THF)\(_2\) (2). The products can be isolated.
from their reaction residues by extraction and crystallization from pentane:

$$\text{LnCl}_3 + 3\text{Na(H}_3\text{BNMe}_2\text{BH}_3) \xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$$

$$\text{Ln} = \text{Eu} (\text{1}), \text{Yb} (\text{2})$$

The reactions of EuCl_3 and YbCl_3 with Na–(H_3BNMe_2BH_3) are not quantitative, but instead both produce a mixture of these divalent products and the corresponding trivalent species Ln(H_3BNMe_2BH_3)_2^+–(THF), which we have described elsewhere. The divalent and trivalent products can be easily distinguished by their colors. The Eu^{II} complex 1 is off-white, whereas its Eu^{III} analogue is yellow; for ytterbium, the colors are reversed: the Yb^{II} complex 2 is intensely yellow, whereas the Yb^{III} analogue is pale-yellow. We have been unable to obtain Sm^{II} products from similar reactions starting from SmCl_3.

The relative amounts of Ln^{2+} and Ln^{3+} products isolated from the reactions of YbCl_3 and EuCl_3 with Na–(H_3BNMe_2BH_3) appear to depend on the reaction temperature. Specifically, the addition of Na–(H_3BNMe_2BH_3) to EuCl_3 at −78 °C yields the Eu^{III} complex as the major product, whereas the same addition carried out at 0 °C largely yields the Eu^{II} complex. A similar trend is seen for Yb: at 0 °C, more of the Yb^{III} complex is isolated, whereas at 25 °C, the Yb^{II} complex is the major product. Generally, the first crop of crystals obtained from pentane generally consists of pure material (either Ln^{2+} or Ln^{3+} depending on the reaction conditions used); subsequent crops are increasingly enriched with the minor species (Figure 1).

The formation of a mixture of products can be avoided by employing the divalent lanthanide iodides EuI_2 and YbI_2 as starting materials. Treatment of these salts with Na–(H_3BNMe_2BH_3) affords 1 and 2 in 53–74% yield; analogous reactions using the divalent chlorides LnCl_2 are unsuccessful.

$$\text{LnI}_2 + 2\text{Na(H}_3\text{BNMe}_2\text{BH}_3) \xrightarrow{\text{THF}}$$

$$\text{Ln} = \text{Eu} (\text{1}), \text{Yb} (\text{2})$$

The THF molecules in 1 and 2 can be readily displaced by treatment with an excess of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) in pentane to afford the new species Eu(H_3BNMe_2BH_3)_2–(DME)_2 (3) and Yb(H_3BNMe_2BH_3)_2(DME) (4). The different numbers of coordinated DME molecules in the two compounds are consistent with the larger size of Eu^{II} versus Yb^{II} (see below).

The divalent lanthanide DMADB complexes do not show any appreciable volatility except for 4, which upon heating to 65–75 °C at 10^-2 Torr gives a low (10%) yield of a sublimate. The recovered sublimate is not soluble in toluene (whereas 4 is quite soluble in this solvent). These results suggest that 1–4 desorb when heated under vacuum.

**Crystal Structures.** Although the THF adducts 1 and 2 have the same stoichiometry, they have different solid-state structures. The Eu compound 1 is dinuclear: each metal center is bound to two chelating DMADB ligands, in which five B atoms from the DMADB ligands occupy the equatorial sites and two THF molecules occupy the axial sites. The B–Eu–O angles are all close to 90°, ranging from 82.5(1)° to 96.2(1)°, and the O1–Eu1–O2 angle deviates slightly from linearity at 167.91(6)° (Table 2).

The Eu⋯B distances to the non-bridging B atoms B1, B2, and B3 are 2.885(4), 3.127(4), and 2.991(4) Å, respectively. These distances are slightly longer than those of...
Table 1. Crystallographic Data for 1–3 at 193 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OaEu</td>
<td>OaEu</td>
<td>OaEu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw (g mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>897.32</td>
<td>460.74</td>
<td>475.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ (Å)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cryst syst</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>10.2155(2)</td>
<td>9.3382(10)</td>
<td>10.4304(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>20.5596(5)</td>
<td>21.0643(3)</td>
<td>14.5323(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>10.4732(3)</td>
<td>11.3500(13)</td>
<td>16.6107(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (deg)</td>
<td>90.5740(10)</td>
<td>94.452(2)</td>
<td>103.552(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>2199.54(9)</td>
<td>2225.8(4)</td>
<td>2417.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>1.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mu</td>
<td>2.854</td>
<td>2.404</td>
<td>2.608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For the major site refined to 69%. The disorder adds to the uncertainty in the H atom locations, which are already uncertain owing to their small scattering factors.

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Lengths (Å)</th>
<th>Bond Angles (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eu(1)–O(1)</td>
<td>2.5820(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(1)–O(2)</td>
<td>2.605(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(1)–B(1)</td>
<td>2.885(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(1)–B(2)</td>
<td>3.127(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a R1 = Σ[Fo – |Fc|]/Σ Fo |b R2 = Σ[|Fo| – Fc]/Σ Fo |c R1 = Σ[w(Fo – |Fc|)]/Σ wFo |d R2 = Σ[w(Fo – Fc)]/Σ[wFo] for all reflections.

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: x, y + 1, z.

2.794(6)–2.920(7) Å observed for the κ²H borohydride groups in Eu(H₂B₅C₆H₁₄)₂(THF)₄ and similar complexes.5 The H atom locations in 1 show that two H atoms bridge the Eu···B1 and Eu···B3 contacts, with Eu–H hydrogen distances of 2.44–2.68 Å (the H atom locations were refined but idealized; the errors in these distances are on the order of 0.05 Å). The longer Eu···B2 contact is also best thought of as involving a κ²H interaction, although one of the Eu–H distances is rather long at 2.83 Å. A B atom B4 both chelates to Eu(1) and bridges to Eu(1) but does so unsymmetrically: the Eu(1)···B(4) distance is 3.215(6) Å, whereas the Eu(1f)···B(4) distance is 2.975(4) Å. The refined least-squares positions for the H atoms attached to B(4) suggest that only one H atom bridges to each of the metals, as shown in Figure 2. The Eu–O distances to the coordinated THF molecules are 2.582(2) and 2.605(2) Å, which closely match the Eu–O distances of 2.591(4) to 2.635(5) Å reported for Eu(H₂B₅C₆H₁₄)₂(THF)₄. The Eu(1)–Eu(1)f distance of 4.741(4) Å is far too long to suggest any metal–metal bonding.

We note in passing that the Eu atoms and the bridging DMADB ligands in 1 are each disordered over two sites in the solid state. The two sites are related by a pseudo-2-fold axis that runs the length of the molecule and passes approximately through the N atoms of the two terminal aminodiboranate ligands (Figure 3). The occupancy factor for the major site refined to 69%. The disorder adds to the uncertainty in the H atom locations, which are already uncertain owing to their small scattering factors.

Unlike 1, the Yb(THF) complex 2 is monomeric (Figure 4); this structural difference is certainly attributable to the larger ionic radius of Eu²⁺ (rionics = 1.17 Å) vs Yb⁺ (1.02 Å).6 The arrangement of the B and O atoms in 2 is best described as a distorted cis octahedron because there are exactly three large interligand angles: B1–Yb1–B3 = 163.4(2)°, O2–Yb1–B4 = 140.5(2)°, and O1–Yb1–B2 = 134.2(1)° (Table 3). The Yb···B distances range from 2.809(5) to 2.856(5) Å, and the Yb–O distances to the coordinated THF molecules are 2.397(3) and 2.416(3) Å. These distances are slightly shorter than those observed for Yb(H₂B₅C₆H₁₄)₂(THF)₄, which are 2.876(7) Å (Yb···B) and 2.424(11) and 2.462(6) Å (Yb–O).5 All of the BH₃ groups are bound to the Yb center by means of two hydrogen bridges; as expected, the κ²H Yb···B distances in 2 are much shorter than those observed for κ²H tetrahydaborate groups bound to Yb¹⁺, which range from 2.596(5) to 2.692(4) Å.5,54 The Yb···H distances range from 2.35(3) to 2.54(5) Å and are consistent with those previously observed.52–54

The Eu(DME) complex 3 is monomeric; both DMADB ligands and both DME molecules chelate to the metal center (Figure 5). The four B atoms and the four O atoms describe a distorted square antiprism, in which the O atoms occupy one square face and the B atoms occupy the other. The Eu···B distances of 3.040(4)–3.115(4) Å are similar to those observed in the THF complex 1 (Table 4). In contrast, the four Eu···O distances of 2.579(2)–2.701(2) Å are longer than those observed in 1, which suggests that 3 is sterically crowded. The H atoms in BH₃ were located in the difference maps and could be refined with tight constraints; all of the BH₃ groups are bound to metal in a κ²H fashion, with Eu–H distances that range from 2.55(3) to 2.77(3) Å. The sterically crowding in 3 is reflected in the Eu···B, Eu···O, and Eu···H distances, which are all significantly longer than those in Eu(H₂B₅C₆H₁₄)₂(THF)₄.53

Crystals of the Yb(DME) complex 4 suitable for diffraction studies could not be obtained.

NMR, IR, and Field Ionization (FI) Mass Spectra. Complexes 1 and 3 contain the highly paramagnetic f⁷ Eu¹⁺ ion and are NMR-silent. In contrast, complexes 2 and 4 contain the diamagnetic f⁷ Yb¹⁺ ion and their ¹H and ¹⁹B NMR resonances are readily observable. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 contains singlets at δ 2.45 for the NMₑ₂ protons and at δ 3.61 and 1.30 for the α and β THF protons, respectively. A broad 1:1:1:1 quartet at δ 2.63 is
assignable to the BH₃ group; the coupling constant to the
¹¹B nucleus (I = 3/2) is 86 Hz. Evidently, exchange of the
terminal and bridging B–H groups within each BH₃ unit is
rapid on the NMR time scale, as is observed for most
metal-bound borohydride species.⁶¹ The ¹¹B NMR spec-
trum of 2 consists of a 1:3:3:1 quartet at δ = 7.7 due to
coupling of the ¹¹B spin to the three H atoms.

The ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra of 4 are also readily
observable. The ¹H NMR spectrum contains a singlet for
the NMe₂ group at δ = 2.50, a broad quartet for the BH₃
groups at δ = 2.76, and DME resonances at δ = 2.88 (CH₂)
and 2.98 (OMe). Integration of the ¹H NMR resonances
confirms the stochiometry determined from the micro-
analytical data. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum consists of a
1:3:3:1 quartet at δ = 7.6.

The IR spectra of the THF complexes 1 and 2 both
exhibit characteristic peaks between 2500 and 2000 cm⁻¹
due to B–H stretches, but the two spectra are very
different. The spectrum of 1 has two strong, broad peaks
at 2299 and 2249 cm⁻¹, whereas that of 2 has four strong,
well-defined peaks at 2357, 2303, 2271, and 2227 cm⁻¹.
The high-energy peak at 2357 cm⁻¹ seen for 2 is assigned
to a terminal B–H stretch, whereas the lower energy
peaks correspond to bridging B–H–M stretches. The
B–H peaks are broader in the spectrum of 1, probably as
a result of the greater variety of bonding modes compared
with 2, as seen in the solid-state structure. The frequencies
of the symmetric and asymmetric O–C–O stretches of
the coordinated THF molecule, 880 and 1016 cm⁻¹ for 1
and 879 and 1019 cm⁻¹ for 2, are similar to those previously
reported.⁶²

The IR spectra of 1–4 suggest that the metal–DMADB
interaction is stronger in the Yb complexes
than in the Eu complexes, possibly because the smaller Yb

Figure 3. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction disorder model for 1. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 35% probability level. Methyl groups, THF molecules, and H atoms have been removed for clarity.

Figure 4. Molecular structure of 2. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 35% probability level. H atoms attached to C atoms have been deleted for clarity.

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Lengths (Å)</th>
<th>Bond Angles (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–O(2) 2.397(3)</td>
<td>O(1)–Yb(1)–Br(1) 81.99(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–O(1) 2.416(3)</td>
<td>Br(1)–Yb(1)–Br(2) 55.34(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–Br(1) 2.809(5)</td>
<td>Yb(1)–O(2)–Br(1) 108.78(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–Br(2) 2.809(5)</td>
<td>Yb(1)–O(1)–Br(2) 109.65(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–Br(3) 2.849(5)</td>
<td>Yb(1)–O(1)–Br(1) 134.17(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–Br(4) 2.849(5)</td>
<td>B(2)–Yb(1)–Br(1) 81.40(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–H(11) 2.53(3)</td>
<td>B(2)–Yb(1)–Br(2) 113.03(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb(1)–H(12) 2.35(3)</td>
<td>B(2)–Yb(1)–Br(3) 111.1(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ion is more strongly Lewis acidic. Specifically, the energy differences between the principal terminal and bridging B–H stretches are 50 and 47 cm⁻¹ in 1 and 3 versus 130 and 98 cm⁻¹ for 2 and 4.

For the DME complexes, the IR spectrum of the Eu compound 3 contains strong, well-resolved terminal and bridging B–H stretches at 2302 and 2255 cm⁻¹, respectively, whereas the IR spectrum of the Yb compound 4 has three strong peaks at 2331, 2296, and 2233 cm⁻¹. Two peaks at 852 and 1006 cm⁻¹ in 3 and two at 861 and 1105 cm⁻¹ in 4 correspond to the C–O–C stretches of the coordinated DME molecules.

The Eu compound 1 gives no metal-containing species in its F1 mass spectrum, but the spectrum of 2 contains envelopes of metal-containing ions centered at values of m/z 317, 388, and 704. The assignment of formulas to these ions is somewhat complicated by the similar molecular weights of THF (72.11 g mol⁻¹) and the DMADB ligand (71.75 g mol⁻¹). Analysis of the isotopic distributions suggests that the peaks in the mass spectrum are best assigned as follows: the m/z 317 envelope is a mixture of Yb(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)₂⁺ and Yb(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)₃⁺, the m/z 388 envelope is a mixture of Yb(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)_(THF)⁺ and Yb(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)₂(THF)⁺, and the envelope at m/z 704 is a mixture of Yb₂(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)₃⁻(THF)₂⁻ and Yb₂(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)₄(THF)⁴⁻.

Compound 3 does not give metal-containing ions in its F1 mass spectrum, but 4 gives a strong parent peak at m/z 406 corresponding to Yb(H₂BNMe₂BH₃)₂⁻(DME)²⁻.

**Concluding Remarks.** Like BH₄⁻, the aminodiboranate anion H₂BNMe₂BH₃⁻ is able to serve both as a ligand and as a reductant for lanthanides, but only the two most easily reduced lanthanides, Eu and Yb, are converted from the 3+ to 2+ oxidation state. In the analogous reaction of SmCl₃ with Na₂(H₂BNMe₂BH₃), there is no evidence of a reduction to Sm⁴⁺. As discussed above, for both Eu and Yb, a mixture of the lanthanide(II) and lanthanide(III) aminodiboranate products is generated, with the ratio being temperature-dependent: the Ln³⁺ products are favored if the metal trichloride is mixed with Na₂(H₂BNMe₂BH₃) at higher temperatures. Relevant in the current context is our finding that Na₂(H₂BNMe₂BH₃) is able to reduce U⁴⁺ to U³⁺.⁵⁸

The aqueous Ln³⁺/Ln²⁺ reduction potentials of these metals are Eu (−0.36 V), Yb (−1.05 V), and Sm (−1.55 V), and the redox potential of the U⁴⁺/U³⁺ couple is −0.61 V.⁶³ The reduction chemistry that we see with the aminodiboranate anion cannot be understood within thermodynamic control: the anion cannot be simultaneously a strong enough reductant to reduce U⁴⁺ to U³⁺ and also too weak to convert Eu⁴⁺ completely to Eu³⁺. Instead, kinetic steps involving the breaking of B–H bonds and the reductive elimination of H₂ are almost certainly involved in the reduction chemistry (as they are for BH₄⁻),⁶⁴ and the barriers associated with these steps are evidently metal-dependent.

**Experimental Section**

All operations were carried out in a vacuum or under argon using standard Schlenk techniques. All glassware was dried in an oven at 150 °C, assembled hot, and allowed to cool under a vacuum before use. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME), diethyl ether, and pentane were distilled under nitrogen from sodium/benzophenone and degassed with argon immediately before use. Anhydrous LnCl₃ (Strem) and LnI₂ (Aldrich) were used as received. Na(H₂BNMe₂BH₃) at higher temperatures. Relevant in the current context is our finding that Na₂(H₂BNMe₂BH₃) is able to reduce U⁴⁺ to U³⁺.⁵⁸
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data were collected on a General Electric GN300WB instrument at 96 MHz or on a Varian Unity Inova 600 instrument at 192 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units (positive shifts to high frequency) relative to tetramethylsilane (1H NMR) or BF3·Et2O (11B NMR). FI mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass 70-VSE mass spectrometer. The shapes of all peak envelopes correspond with those calculated from the natural abundance isotopic distributions in the observed spectra. Melting points and decomposition temperatures were determined in closed capillaries under argon on a Thomas-Hoover Unimelt apparatus.

**Caution!** Complexes 2 and 4 enflame upon exposure to air.

**Bis[N,N-dimethylaminodiboranato]**[bis(tetrahydrofuran)europium(II), Eu(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)](1). **Method A.** To a suspension of EuCl3 (0.55 g, 2.0 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added a solution of sodium N,N-dimethylaminodiborane (0.56 g, 5.9 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The off-white reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and then was warmed to room temperature. The solution over the gray suspension slowly became yellow. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature and then was evaporated to dryness under a vacuum to afford a sticky yellow solid. The residue was extracted with pentane (2 × 20 mL). The pale-yellow extracts were filtered, combined, concentrated to ca. 15 mL, and cooled to −20 °C to yield off-white crystals. Yield: 0.39 g (47%). Anal. Calcd for C12H40B4N2O2Eu: C, 31.3; H, 9.04; N, 6.41. Although the first crop was pure 1, subsequent crops contained increasing amounts of the intensely yellow trivalent complex Eu(H2BNMe2BH3)3(THF). Method B. To a suspension of EuCl3 (0.52 g, 1.3 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added a solution of sodium N,N-dimethylaminodiborane (0.25 g, 2.6 mmol) in THF (20 mL). Most of the EuCl3 suspension immediately dissolved, and the yellow mixture was stirred with pentane (55 mL), and the pale-yellow extract was filtered, concentrated to 3 mL, and cooled to −20 °C to yield an intense-yellow semicrystalline solid. Yield: 0.16 g (82%). Mp: 115–116 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C12H44B4N2O4Eu: C, 30.3; H, 9.32; N, 5.89. Found: C, 29.8; H, 9.3; N, 5.87. IR (cm−1): 2391 w, 2366 w, 2347 sh, 2320 vs, 2255 s, 2226 sh, 2201 w, 1324 w, 1254 w, 1223 m, 1210 m, 1178 s, 1152 s, 1106 m, 1060 s, 1016 s, 979 w, 926 m, 904 w, 852 s, 805 w.

**Bis[N,N-dimethylaminodiboranato]**[bis(1,2-dimethoxyethane)-ytterbium(II), Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(DME)](2). To a suspension of YbCl3 (0.22 g, 0.50 mmol) in pentane (16 mL) was added DME (0.5 mL, 5 mmol). A thick gray precipitate formed immediately. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then was filtered. The filtrate was discarded, and the solid was washed with pentane (10 mL) and dried under a vacuum to afford a pale-yellow solid. Yield: 0.04 g (39%). Anal. Calcd for C12H40B4N2O2Yb: C, 31.3; H, 8.75; N, 6.08. Found: C, 30.5; H, 8.81; N, 6.08. 11B NMR (CD8, 20 °C): δ 1.30 (s, fwhm = 20 Hz, β-CH2, 12 H). 1H NMR (CD8, 20 °C): δ −7.7 (q, J = 99 Hz, BH3). MS(FI) [fragment ion, relative abundance]: m/z: 115 [H2BNMe2-BH2NMe2, 60], 316 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2], 60, 376 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)3-BH2], 45, 388 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)], Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)2, 100]. 704 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2-Yb(H2BNMe2)]/Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)2, 55. IR (cm−1): 2385 sh, 2357, 2303 m, 2227 vs, 2075 w, 1342 w, 1261 m, 1232 m, 1121 m, 1177 s, 1147 s, 1031 s, 1019 s, 931 m, 918 w, 905 m, 879 m, 801 m.

**Bis[N,N-dimethylaminodiboranato]**[bis(1,2-dimethoxyethane)-europium(II), Eu(H2BNMe2BH3)2(DME)](3). To a suspension of 1 (0.22 g, 0.50 mmol) in pentane (16 mL) was added DME (0.5 mL, 5 mmol). A thick gray precipitate formed immediately. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then was filtered. The filtrate was discarded, and the solid was washed with pentane (10 mL) and dried under a vacuum to yield a light-gray powder. Yield: 0.17 g (71%). The concentration and cooling of solutions of 3 in diethyl ether produced large, cubic crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction studies. Mp: 107–115 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C32H44B4N2O4Eu: C, 30.3; H, 9.32; N, 5.89. Found: C, 29.8; H, 9.3; N, 5.87. IR (cm−1): 2391 w, 2266 w, 2347 sh, 2320 vs, 2255 s, 2226 sh, 2201 w, 1324 w, 1254 w, 1223 m, 1210 m, 1178 s, 1152 s, 1106 m, 1060 s, 1016 s, 979 w, 926 m, 904 w, 852 s, 802 w.

**Bis[N,N-dimethylaminodiboranato]**[bis(1,2-dimethoxyethane)-ytterbium(II), Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(DME)](4). To a bright-yellow suspension of 2 (0.22 g, 0.48 mmol) in pentane (16 mL) was added DME (0.5 mL, 5 mmol). Most of the solid dissolved immediately. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, and then the intense-yellow mixture was filtered, concentrated to 3 mL, and cooled to −20 °C to yield an intense-yellow semicrystalline solid. Yield: 0.16 g (82%). Mp: 107–115 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C32H44B4N2O4Yb: C, 30.3; H, 9.32; N, 5.89. Found: C, 30.4; H, 9.38; N, 5.88. 1H NMR (CD8, 20 °C) δ 2.76 (br q, J = 115 Hz, NMe2, 12 H), 2.98 (s, fwhm = 20 Hz, CH2, 4 H), 3.61 (s, fwhm = 20 Hz, CH3, 12 H), 3.81 (s, fwhm = 16 Hz, CH2, 4 H). 11B NMR (CD8, 20 °C): δ −7.6 (q, J = 99 Hz, BH3). MS(FI) [fragment ion, relative abundance]: m/z: 115 [H2BNMe2-BH2NMe2, 60], 316 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2], 60, 376 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)3-BH2], 45, 388 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)], Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)2, 100]. 704 [Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2-Yb(H2BNMe2)]/Yb(H2BNMe2BH3)2(THF)2, 55. IR (cm−1): 2385 sh, 2357, 2303 m, 2227 vs, 2075 w, 1342 w, 1261 m, 1232 m, 1121 m, 1177 s, 1147 s, 1031 s, 1019 s, 931 m, 918 w, 905 m, 879 m, 801 m.

**Crystallographic Studies.** Single crystals of 1 and 2, grown from pentane, and 3, grown from diethyl ether, were mounted on glass fibers with Paratone-N oil (Exxon) and immediately cooled to −80 °C in a cold-nitrogen gas stream on the diffractometer. Standard peak search and indexing procedures, followed by least-squares refinement, yielded the cell dimensions given in Table 1. Data were collected with an area detector by using the measurement parameters listed in Table 1. For all crystals, the measured intensities were reduced to structure factor amplitudes and their estimated standard deviations by correction for background and Lorentz and polarization effects. Although corrections for crystal decay were unnecessary, face-indexed absorption corrections were applied. Systematically absent reflections were deleted, and symmetry-equivalent reflections were averaged to yield a set of unique data. All unique data were used in the least-squares refinements. 

The structures were solved using direct methods (SHELXTL). The correct position of all of the non-H atoms were deduced from E maps and subsequent difference Fourier calculations. The analytical approximations to the scattering factors were used, and all structure factors were corrected for both real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. Unless otherwise stated, the refinement models had the following features: (1) Independent anisotropic displacement factors were refined for the non-H atoms. (2) Methylenes and methyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions with C−H = 0.99 and 0.98 Å, respectively. (3) The methyl groups were allowed to rotate about the C−N bonds to find the best-least-squares positions. (4) Methylene and methyl H atoms were given displacement parameters equal to 1.2 and 1.5 times $U_{eq}$ for the attached C atom, respectively. In I, the boranyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions with B−H = 1.15 Å and were given displacement parameters equal to 1.2 times $U_{eq}$ for the attached B atom, and the boranyl groups were allowed to rotate about the B−N bonds to find the best least-squares positions. The H atoms attached to B atoms in 2 and 3 were located in the difference maps, and their positions were refined with independent isotropic displacement parameters. No corrections for anisotropic extinction were necessary. For all data sets, successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the extinction were necessary. For all data sets, successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. Unless otherwise stated, the minimum value of the quantity minimized by the least-squares program was \( \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \), where \( w = \{(F_o)^2 + (0.421 P)^2 \}^{-1} \) and \( P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \). The chemically equivalent C−N, B−N, B···C, and C···C distances within the aminodiboranate ligands were constrained to be equal within an esd of 0.005 Å. The C−O and C−C distances in the THF molecules were constrained to be 1.48 ± 0.005 and 1.52 ± 0.005 Å, respectively. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map (0.83 e Å⁻³) was located 0.95 Å from Eu⁴⁺.

**Compound 1.** The monoclinic lattice and systematic absences 0k0 (k ≠ 2n) and h0l (h + l ≠ 2n) were uniquely consistent with the space group $P2_1/n$, which was confirmed by the success of the subsequent refinement. The chemically equivalent C−H and H···H distances within the aminodiboranate ligands were constrained to be equal within 0.01 Å. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map (2.65 e Å⁻³) was located 0.82 Å from Yb⁴⁺.

**Compound 2.** The monoclinic lattice and systematic absences 0k0 (k ≠ 2n) and h0l (h + l ≠ 2n) were uniquely consistent with the space group $P2_1/n$, and this choice was confirmed by successful refinement of the proposed model. The quantity minimized by the least-squares program was \( \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \), where \( w = \{(F_o)^2 + (0.0210 P)^2 \}^{-1} \) and \( P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \). The chemically equivalent B−H and H···H distances were constrained to be equal within 0.01 Å. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map (2.65 e Å⁻³) was located 0.82 Å from Yb⁴⁺. The correct position of all of the non-H atoms were deduced from E maps and subsequent difference Fourier calculations. The analytical approximations to the scattering factors were used, and all structure factors were corrected for both real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. Unless otherwise stated, the refinement models had the following features: (1) Independent anisotropic displacement factors were refined for the non-H atoms. (2) Methylenes and methyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions with C−H = 0.99 and 0.98 Å, respectively. (3) The methyl groups were allowed to rotate about the C−N bonds to find the best least-squares positions. (4) Methylene and methyl H atoms were given displacement parameters equal to 1.2 and 1.5 times $U_{eq}$ for the attached C atom, respectively. In I, the boranyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions with B−H = 1.15 Å and were given displacement parameters equal to 1.2 times $U_{eq}$ for the attached B atom, and the boranyl groups were allowed to rotate about the B−N bonds to find the best least-squares positions. The H atoms attached to B atoms in 2 and 3 were located in the difference maps, and their positions were refined with independent isotropic displacement parameters. No corrections for anisotropic extinction were necessary. For all data sets, successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the extinction were necessary. For all data sets, successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. Unless otherwise stated, the minimum value of the quantity minimized by the least-squares program was \( \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \), where \( w = \{(F_o)^2 + (0.421 P)^2 \}^{-1} \) and \( P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \). The chemically equivalent C−N, B−N, B···C, and C···C distances within the aminodiboranate ligands were constrained to be equal within an esd of 0.005 Å. The C−O and C−C distances in the THF molecules were constrained to be 1.48 ± 0.005 and 1.52 ± 0.005 Å, respectively. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map (0.83 e Å⁻³) was located 0.95 Å from Eu⁴⁺.

**Compound 3.** The monoclinic lattice and systematic absences 0k0 (k ≠ 2n) and h0l (h + l ≠ 2n) were uniquely consistent with the space group $P2_1/c$, and this choice was confirmed by successful refinement of the proposed model. The quantity minimized by the least-squares program was \( \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \), where \( w = \{(F_o)^2 + (0.0210 P)^2 \}^{-1} \) and \( P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \). The chemically equivalent B−H and H···H distances were constrained to be equal within 0.01 Å. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map (2.65 e Å⁻³) was located 0.82 Å from Yb⁴⁺. The correct position of all of the non-H atoms were deduced from E maps and subsequent difference Fourier calculations. The analytical approximations to the scattering factors were used, and all structure factors were corrected for both real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. Unless otherwise stated, the refinement models had the following features: (1) Independent anisotropic displacement factors were refined for the non-H atoms. (2) Methylenes and methyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions with C−H = 0.99 and 0.98 Å, respectively. (3) The methyl groups were allowed to rotate about the C−N bonds to find the best least-squares positions. (4) Methylene and methyl H atoms were given displacement parameters equal to 1.2 and 1.5 times $U_{eq}$ for the attached C atom, respectively. In I, the boranyl H atoms were placed in idealized positions with B−H = 1.15 Å and were given displacement parameters equal to 1.2 times $U_{eq}$ for the attached B atom, and the boranyl groups were allowed to rotate about the B−N bonds to find the best least-squares positions. The H atoms attached to B atoms in 2 and 3 were located in the difference maps, and their positions were refined with independent isotropic displacement parameters. No corrections for anisotropic extinction were necessary. For all data sets, successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the extinction were necessary. For all data sets, successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. Unless otherwise stated, the minimum value of the quantity minimized by the least-squares program was \( \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \), where \( w = \{(F_o)^2 + (0.421 P)^2 \}^{-1} \) and \( P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \). The chemically equivalent B−H and H···H distances were constrained to be equal within 0.01 Å. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map (2.65 e Å⁻³) was located 0.82 Å from Yb⁴⁺.
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