Sulfido–Persulfido Equilibria in Sulfur-Rich Metal Clusters: The Case of $(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4^{2+}$

Anne Venturelli, Thomas B. Rauchfuss,* and Atul K. Verma

School of Chemical Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

Received August 8, 1996[⊗]

The reaction of $[(C_5Me_5)M(MeCN)_3](PF_6)_2$ with $(C_5Me_5)_2Ru_2S_4$ gives the cluster compounds $[(C_5Me_5)_3MRu_2S_4(Me-CN)](PF_6)_2$, $\mathbf{1}(PF_6)_2$ (M = Rh) and $\mathbf{3}(PF_6)_2$ (M = Ir). Crystallographic studies of $\mathbf{1}(PF_6)_2$ show that the dication consists of an asymmetric RhRu_2S_4 core containing an isosceles triangle of metal atoms with a Ru–Ru bond of 2.88 Å. The three metal atoms are joined by two $\mu_3 \cdot \eta^1 : \eta^2 : \eta^{1-}S_2$ units, each persulfide being monodentate toward Rh. NMR studies show that $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ is stereochemically nonrigid such that the two Ru(C_5Me_5) resonances coalesce at higher temperatures. The dynamic processes involving $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ are unaffected by added (C_5Me_5)Rh(MeCN)_3^{2+}, ruling out dissociation of the (C₅Me₅)Rh center. Exchange of the (C₅Me_5)Ru sites in [(C₅Me_5)_2(C₅Me_4Et)-RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](PF_6)_2, $\mathbf{2}(PF_6)_2$, is associated with coalescence of the pairs of C₅Me_4Et resonances, suggesting that the dynamics in $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ involve racemization. It is proposed that these dynamics proceed via the "base-free" intermediate [(C₅Me₅)_3RhRu_2S_4]^{2+}, wherein one S-S bond has been cleaved. Solutions of $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ react with acetone to give the *S*-acetonyl derivative [(C₅Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]PF_6, $\mathbf{4}(PF_6)$. This species, which is not fluxional on the NMR time scale, is a rare example of a metal sulfido cluster with a trigonal prismatic M₃S₃ core. There is one metal-metal bond of 2.75 Å between the two Ru atoms, spanned by the acetonylthio ligand. The M-S distances are nearly equivalent at 2.33 Å while the S-S bonding distance is 2.12 Å. This reaction is reversed by acid to give $\mathbf{1}^{2+}$ and acetone.

Introduction

We wish to report synthetic and structural studies on some M_3S_4 clusters that implicate the reversible breaking of S–S bonds. This process is not well precedented for metal sulfides although the irreversible scission of S–S bonds is of obvious relevance to the formation of metal sulfides from elemental sulfur. The corresponding reversible cleavage of O–O bonds in Cu₂O₂ complexes was discussed recently.¹

Sulfido clusters where the S:M ratio exceeds unity can be described as sulfur-rich. For the late transition metals, sulfurrich compounds feature S-S bonds, while, for earlier metals this is typically not the case since the early metals exist in higher oxidation states. This pattern borne out in solid state chemistry, where, for example, the structures of the metal disulfides, $M^{IV}(S^{2-})_2$ vs $M^{II}(S_2^{2-})$, can be explained in terms of the ionization potentials of the metals.² Early metals have low ionization potentials such that the d electrons of these metals lie above the S-S σ^* energy; thus the S-S σ^* levels are occupied, leading to S^{2-} and relatively oxidized metals centers. Conversely, the d electrons of later transition metal compounds are lower in energy and the S–S σ^* levels remain unoccupied, viz. the persulfido centers of pyrite and marcasite. While these patterns are well established for minerals and related inorganic materials, the corresponding patterns for discrete clusters have received little attention. Through judicious selection of coligands, one should be able to design molecules where the M d levels and the S–S σ^* levels are close, opening the way for highly reactive or bistable clusters.

The simplest family of sulfur-rich clusters has the core stoichiometry $M_3S_{4,3}$ Clusters of this type are common for

molybdenum. Mo₃S₄ clusters have been studied for several years,⁴ and the area continues to be a fruitful one.⁵ The M₃S₄ core of these species, typified by Mo₃S₄(H₂O)₉⁴⁺, possesses idealized C_{3v} symmetry, consisting of three doubly bridging sulfido centers and one triply bridging sulfur atom. The M₃S₄ clusters of the late transition metals have been examined only for the case of Fe–S–SR systems. Fe₃S₄(SR)₃ clusters have been identified in nature and, recently, were stabilized in a protein-free environment.⁶ The Fe centers in these species are high spin, indicative of the unimportance of the metal–metal bonding.

We recently described the 50 e^- cluster (C₅Me₅)₃Ru₃S₄⁺ as well as some of its adducts (eq 1).⁷ Crystallographic studies



on salts of $(C_5Me_5)_3Ru_3S_4 \cdot SO_2^+$ and $(C_5Me_5)_3Ru_3S_3(SEt)^{2+}$ established a persulfide-containing trimetal cluster with an unusual trigonal prismatic M_3S_3 core. Additionally, the persulfide ligands display unusually long S-S distances of ~2.3 Å. The structure of $(C_5Me_5)_3Ru_3S_4^+$, be it a bis(persulfido) or

- (4) Shibahara, T. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1993, 123, 73.
- (5) Shibahara, T.; Sakane, G.; Mochida, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10408.
- (6) Zhou, J.; Holm, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11353 and references therein.
- (7) Houser, E. J.; Krautscheid, H.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 1283.

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, February 15, 1997.

Halfen, J. A.; Mahapatra, S.; Wilkinson, E. C.; Kaderli, S.; Young, V. G.; Que, L.; Zuberbuhler, A. D.; Tolman, W. B. *Science* 1996, 271, 1397.

⁽²⁾ Burdett, J. K.; McLarnan, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1119 and references therein.

⁽³⁾ In this discussion, we define clusters as consisting of triangular arrays of metals. There are, however, a number of M_3S_4 species where the metals are collinear, an example being the tetrathiomolybdate derivative $MoS_4[Ru(C_5H_5)(PPh_3)]_2$ (Howard, K. E.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 1710).



Figure 1. (A) Variable-temperature 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](PF_6)_2$ (CD₃CN solution). (B) ¹H NMR spectrum of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](PF_6)_2$ with added $(C_5Me_5)Rh(Me-CN)_3^{2+}$ (C₅Me₅ indicated by *) (CD₃CN solution, 80 °C).

a disulfido–persulfido species, remains uncertain. In the present report, we describe the synthesis of related 52 $e^-M_3S_4$ clusters.

Results

Synthesis of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)]^{2+}$. Addition of yellow $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(MeCN)_3](PF_6)_2^8$ to a blue THF solution of $(C_5Me_5)_2Ru_2S_4$ results in the immediate formation of a redbrown solution. After recrystallization from MeCN-Et₂O, a product analyzing as $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](PF_6)_2$, $1(PF_6)_2$, was obtained in 85% yield (eq 2). The room-temperature ¹H



NMR spectrum of a CD₃CN solution of 1^{2+} consists of three equally intense singlets, showing that the three (C₅Me₅)M centers are nonequivalent. An additional peak at δ 1.97 corresponds to CH₃CN, with one-fifth of the intensity of the C₅Me₅ peaks. We initially attributed this acetonitrile peak to an impurity; however, attempts to remove the MeCN by heating the solid in vacuo resulted in decomposition of the sample. We conclude that exchange between coordinated and bulk MeCN occurs in the time needed to prepare the NMR sample.

Variable-temperature ¹H NMR measurements revealed that 1^{2+} is stereochemically nonrigid. At 85 °C, the signals at δ 1.92 and 1.90 coalesce into a singlet at δ 1.91 as a consequence of a dynamic process that interchanges the two (C₅Me₅)Ru sites (Figure 1). A DNMR experiment was conducted on a solution



Figure 2. Structure of the dication in $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)]-(SbF_6)_2$ (1(SbF_6)_2). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Selected Bonding and Nonbonding Distances (Å) for $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](SbF_6)_2$ (1(SbF₆)₂)

Ru1-Ru2	2.884(1)	Ru1…Rh1	3.963(1)
Ru2····Rh1	4.025(1)	S1-S2	2.082(3)
S1S3	3.482(3)	S1S4	3.016(2)
S2S3	3.315(3)	S2S4	3.464(3)
S3-S4	2.099(3)	Rh1S1	3.637(2)
Rh1-S2	2.346(2)	Rh1-S3	2.393(2)
Rh1····S4	3.707(2)	Ru1-S1	2.247(2)
Ru1S2	3.520(2)	Ru1-S3	2.393(2)
Ru1-S4	2.340(2)	Ru2-S1	2.330(2)
Ru2-S2	2.381(2)	Ru2S3	3.550(2)
Ru2-S4	2.258(2)	Rh1-N1	2.085(8)
C31-C32	1.451(14)	N1-C31	1.131(12)

containing both $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(MeCN)_3]^{2+}$ and 1^{2+} . At temperatures where the $(C_5Me_5)Ru$ singlets coalesce, the $[(C_5Me_5)-Rh(MeCN)_3]^{2+}$ signal at δ 1.72 remains unchanged.

The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1^{2+} is also consistent with an unsymmetrical cluster. For example, the signals for C_5Me_5 appear at δ 105.3, 104.1, and 103.9; the last is split into a doublet due to ¹⁰³Rh coupling (J = 24 Hz).

Crystallographic Studies on [(C5Me5)3RhRu2S4(MeCN)]-(SbF₆)₂. X-ray quality crystals of [(C₅Me₅)₃RhRu₂S₄(MeCN)]-(SbF₆)₂ were obtained by slow diffusion of ether into an MeCN solution of $1(SbF_6)_2$. We attempted to grow crystals using other counteranions, including PF6-, CF3SO3-, and BF4-, but these salts formed oils. The crystallographic study shows that the dication consists of an unsymmetrical RhRu₂S₄ core containing an isosceles triangle of metal atoms with one M-M bond of 2.88 Å (Figure 2, Table 1). The metal-metal bond is assigned as being between the two Ru atoms due to similarity of the ¹H NMR shifts for (C₅Me₅)Ru ($\Delta \delta = 0.02$ ppm). These signals are unambiguously assigned to (C₅Me₅)Ru because they coalesce at higher temperatures. The three metal atoms are joined by two μ_3 - η^1 : η^2 : η^1 -S₂ units. The persulfido distances are slightly long, at 2.08-2.10 Å, but not as long as 2.3 Å seen for the S-S bond in $(C_5Me_5)_3Ru_3(S_2)S(SEt)^{2+.7}$ The S····S nonbonding contacts are unremarkable (3.31-3.46 Å). Each persulfide is monodentate toward Rh1, whose coordination sphere is completed with an η^5 -C₅Me₅ and an MeCN molecule. The Rh–N distance of 2.09 Å is unexceptional; in [{(MeCN)₃- $[P(OMe)_3]_2Ru_2S_2]^{4+}$ the Ru-N bonds range from 2.02 to 2.14 Å.9

⁽⁸⁾ Russell, M. J. H.; White, C.; Yates, A.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 857.

⁽⁹⁾ Matsumoto, T.; Matsumoto, K. Chem. Lett. 1992, 1539.



Figure 3. Structure of the cation in $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]$ -BF₄ (4(BF₄)). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Analogs of 1^{2+} . To better understand the stereodynamics in 1^{2+} , an analogous compound was prepared with (C₅Me₄Et)Rh in place of (C₅Me₅)Rh. Using a synthetic procedure analogous to that leading to $1(PF_6)_2$, we obtained $[(C_5Me_4Et)(C_5Me_5)_2]$ -RhRu₂S₄(MeCN)](PF₆)₂, **2**(PF₆)₂, in good yield. The analytical data for this compound were only of marginal quality, but the spectroscopic data are clean. The room-temperature ¹H NMR spectrum of a CD₃CN solution of 2^{2+} consists of six C₅Me_{5-x}Et_x (x = 1, 0) singlets in a 1:1:1:1:5:5 ratio in addition to the CH_2CH_3 resonances which appear as a quartet at δ 2.06 and a triplet at δ 1.07. A peak at δ 1.96 is again assigned to free MeCN, due to exchange with the deuterated solvent. At elevated temperatures, the ¹H NMR signals for (C₅Me₅)Ru coalesce concomitantly with the partial collapse of the C_5Me_4 -Et signals into two broadened singlets. Seven methyl signals are seen in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum.

The preparation of the analog of 1^{2+} with Ir in place of Rh required relatively stringent conditions. Treatment of a blue THF solution of (C5Me5)2Ru2S4 with an MeCN solution of (C5-Me₅)Ir(MeCN)₃²⁺ results in a green solution. If this mixture is stirred at room temperature, as was done for 1^{2+} , one obtains only unreacted starting materials. The mixture was refluxed for 6 h and cooled to room temperature, and then the solvent was removed, giving a green-brown oily solid. Purification as for 1^{2+} gave a 38% yield of green [(C₅Me₅)₃IrRu₂S₄(MeCN)]- $(PF_6)_2$, $3(PF_6)_2$. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra are also indicative of an unsymmetrical cluster.

Synthesis of [(C₅Me₅)₃RhRu₂S₃(SCH₂COCH₃)]⁺. The high reactivity of 1^{2+} was initially suggested by FAB mass spectroscopic experiments which showed parent ion peaks for m/z = $(840 + \text{matrix}^+)$. Attempts to recrystallize the salt from an acetone solution gave microcrystals of [(C5Me5)3RhRu2S3(SCH2- $COCH_3$]PF₆, 4(PF₆). This product, which proved to be an S-acetonyl derivative, displays an ¹H NMR spectrum consisting of singlets at δ 3.93 (CH₂) and δ 2.50 (CH₃), along with two C₅Me₅ signals in a 2:1 ratio. This spectrum does not qualitatively change at lower temperatures, indicating that in contrast to its precursor, this acetonyl species is symmetrical. An ¹H NMR spectrum of 4⁺ was also obtained in CD₃CN to establish the robustness of the acetonylthio complex in the absence of acetone. The signals are shifted somewhat upfield; however, the acetonyl resonances remain virtually unchanged.

The ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of 4^+ showed three signals ascribed to the acetonyl fragment at δ 206, 42.9, and 30.7,

Table 2. Selected Bonding and Nonbonding Distances (Å) for $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]BF_4$ (4(BF₄))

Ru1-Ru2	2.746(9)	Ru1···Rh1	3.597(1)
Ru2···Rh1	3.582(1)	S1S2	3.007(2)
S1S3	3.734(2)	S1S4	3.016(2)
S2S3	3.258(2)	S2-S4	2.124(3)
S3…S4	3.261(3)	Rh1-S1	2.361(2)
Rh1-S2	2.363(2)	Rh1S3	4.779(2)
Rh1-S4	2.351(2)	Ru1-S1	2.315(2)
Ru1-S2	2.366(2)	Ru1-S3	2.314(2)
Ru1···S4	3.382(2)	Ru2-S1	2.332(2)
Ru2····S2	3.372(2)	Ru2-S3	2.311(2)
Ru2-S4	2.356(2)	O1-C32	1.214(11)
C31-C32	1.468(11)	C32-C33	1.411(14)
S3-C31	1.857(8)		

assigned to CO, CH₂, and CH₃, respectively. The assignment of the $-CH_2C(O)CH_3$ signals is based on the J-modulated spinecho (APT) sequence where the number of coupled protons determines the phases of carbon signals.¹⁰ The symmetrical nature of this complex was also demonstrated by its ¹³C NMR spectrum. Its IR spectrum featured prominent bands at 840 (ν_{PF}) and 1705 cm⁻¹ (ν_{CO}). Dissolution of the IrRu₂ cluster 3^{2+} in acetone resulted in a color change of the solution from green to red; however, the characterization of this product was not pursued.

The formation of 4^+ from 1^{2+} formally results from the addition of the enolate of acetone to $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4]^{2+}$. Independent of the mechanistic details, a balanced reaction would produce protons (eq 3). The reversibility of the alkylation

$$(C_{5}Me_{5})_{3}RhRu_{2}S_{4}(MeCN)^{2+} \qquad \underbrace{\frac{Me_{2}CO}{MeCN}}_{MeCN}$$

$$(C_{5}Me_{5})_{3}RhRu_{2}S_{3}(SCH_{2}C(O)Me)^{+} + H^{+} \quad (3)$$

was tested by treating $4(PF_6)$ with acid. Addition of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (HOTf) to an MeCN solution of 4(PF₆) gives $1(PF_6)(OTf)$ in 82% yield.

Crystallographic Studies on [(C5Me5)3RhRu2S3(SCH2-**COCH₃**)**BF₄.** The monocation features a trigonal prismatic RhRu₂S₃ cluster with an CH₃C(O)CH₂S group spanning the Ru-Ru edge (Figure 3, Table 2). The M_3S_4 core can also be viewed as an incomplete cubane. The BF4⁻ counterion is well separated from the cluster molecule. Crystallographic symmetry was not imposed on the cation. Since crystallographic methods cannot distinguish the Rh from the Ru atoms, the positions of these atoms are based on the observation of a symmetric structure by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. There is one metal-metal bond between the two Ru atoms of 2.75 Å, as in 1^+ . The Ru···Rh nonbonding contacts are 3.60 Å. The M-S distances are nearly equivalent at 2.33-2.38 Å. The S2-S4 bonding distance is 2.12 Å; in (C₅Me₅)₃Ru₃S₃SEt²⁺, the S-S bond distance is 2.14 Å.7 The remaining S····S nonbonding contacts range from 3.00 Å (S1-S2) to 3.26 Å (S4-S3). The S3-C31 distance of 1.86 Å and the O1-C32 distance of 1.21 Å are normal.¹¹

Discussion

The 52 e⁻ cluster $(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)^{2+}$ is a rare⁷ example of a M₃S₄ cluster comprising of S₂ ligands.¹² The persulfido ligands are arranged in a μ_3 - η^1 : η^2 : η^1 binding mode,

⁽¹⁰⁾ Friebolin, H. Basic One- and Two- Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1991; pp 167–181. (11) CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 71st ed.; Weast, R. C.,

Ed.; CRC: Cleveland, OH 1990; p 9.

Rh(C₅Me₅)

(C.Mes



Rh(C₅Me₅)

Ru(CeMee)

ably via protonation of the ketonic oxygen, which allows release of the enol of acetone.²⁰ The reverse of this process, the attachment of acetone enol at a sulfido ligand to give 4⁺, requires that the (per)sulfido ligands be electrophilic. Electrophilic sulfido ligands have been observed in certain $Mo_2(\mu-S)_x$ and $Fe_2(\mu-S_2)$ centers.^{21,22} Electrophilic persulfido ligands are well-known in 34 e⁻ Ru₂S₂ dimers; this aspect would explain the recently observed formation of an acetonylperthio complex (eq 4).²³ Little mechanistic information is available on this transformation.



The structure of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4]^{2+}$, formed upon dissociation of MeCN from 1^{2+} , has not been definitively established. This species is of special interest since it is likely the reactive cluster that binds the acetone enol. There are many structures possible for this 50 e⁻ species, one featuring a pair of M–M bonds (cf. eq 1) and another featuring a 4 e⁻ sulfido ligand (Scheme 1). The second option is appealing since the same trigonal prismatic cluster, $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2(\mu_3-S_2)(\mu_3-S)-(\mu_2-S)]^{2+}$, would be generated by protonolysis of 4⁺. Indeed, we demonstrated that 1^{2+} is regenerated when 4⁺ is protonated in MeCN solution.

Overall, this work and the previous study⁷ show that high reactivity is associated with sulfur atoms in cationic clusters containing persulfido ligands. Such sulfur-rich clusters can be prepared in a rational manner by metalation of $(C_5R_5)_2M_2S_4$ precursors.

- (16) Hayward, P. J.; Saftich, S. J.; Nyman, C. J. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 1311 and references therein.
- (17) Bottomley, F.; White, P. S.; Mukuida, M.; Shimura, K.; Kakihana, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1988, 2965.
- (18) Potentially relevant to the present results, ketones are known to enolize upon coordination to cationic Ru centers (Bennett, M. A.; Matheson, T. W.; Robertson, G. B.; Steffin, W. L.; Turney, T. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 32). Similar reactivity could apply to the reaction of 1²⁺ with acetone, beginning with the exchange of Me₂CO for MeCN, but it is then difficult to explain the positioning of the acetonylthio group between the two Ru atoms.
- (19) Toullec, J. In *The Chemistry of Enols*; Rappoport, H., Ed. Wiley: New York, 1990; p 323.
- (20) The protonolysis of acetonylthio complexes might provide a novel approach to sulfido clusters. Examples of other acetonylthio complexes: Licheng, S.; Qingmei, H.; Zhongyuan, Z.; Zaiyun, X. J. Struct. Chem. (Engl. Transl.) 1988, 7, 53. Cartner, A. M.; Fawcett, J.; Henderson, W.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; McKenna, P.; Russell, D. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 3735.
- (21) Koval, C. R.; Lopez, L. L.; Kaul, B. B.; Renshaw, S.; Green, K.; Rakowski DuBois, M. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3440 and references therein.
- (22) Seyferth, D.; Henderson, R. S.; Song, L.-C. Organometallics 1982, 1, 125.
- (23) Matsumoto, K.; Uemura, H.; Kawano, M. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 658.

Figure 4. Isomers of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)]^{2+}$ (1²⁺). DNMR experiments on 1²⁺ demonstrate that the Ru sites exchange. Related DNMR experiments on $[(C_5Me_4Et)(C_5Me_5)_2RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)]^{2+}$ demonstrate that this exchange involves racemization; thus all four isomers interconvert.

reminiscent of that found for (C5Me5)2Co2S4 and for one of two isomers of $(C_5Me_5)_2Fe_2S_4$, where these ligands are $\mu_2 - \eta^1 : \eta^2 : \eta^{-1} : \eta^2 : \eta^{-1} : \eta^2 : \eta^{-1} : \eta^2 : \eta^{-1} : \eta^{-1}$ The two $Ru(C_5Me_5)$ sites are similar but nonequivalent due to the orientation of the MeCN ligand on Rh. In MeCN solution, the two (C5Me5)Ru sites exchange as demonstrated by variabletemperature NMR measurements. The mechanism of the dynamic process is constrained by DNMR studies on [(C5Me4- $Et)(C_5Me_5)_2RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)]^{2+}, \ \text{an analog of } 1^{2+}, \ \text{which}$ indicate that the rate of racemization is the same as the rate of exchange of the (C5Me5)Ru sites. DNMR measurements also rule out pathways involving dissociation of 1^{2+} to give (C₅Me₅)₂- Ru_2S_4 and $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(MeCN)_3]^{2+}$, since added $[(C_5Me_5)Rh (MeCN)_3$ ²⁺ does not affect the site exchange. These findings indicate that the dynamics interconvert all four isomers of 1^{2+} (Figure 4), initiated by dissociation of MeCN. Clues relevant to the nature of the MeCN-free intermediate were provided by the reaction of 1^{2+} with acetone.

Solutions of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)]^{2+}$ react with acetone under mild conditions to give the 52 e⁻ acetonylthio derivative $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]^+$, an unusual example of a cluster with a trigonal prismatic M_3S_3 core. In this transformation, the metals remain in oxidation state III. The reaction of 1^{2+} with acetone is unusual, as it formally represents C–H activation by a sulfido ligand. Acetone is known to react with inorganic ligands via sulfidation,¹⁵ insertion into metal– dioxygen bonds,¹⁶ and attack at linear nitrosyl ligands.^{17,18} In the present case, however, we suggest that the acetone is

- (12) Another Ru₂RhS_x cluster is known, the 48 e⁻ species (C₅Me₅)₂Ru₂-[Rh(PPh₃)Cl₂](μ₃-S)₂(μ-H): Hashizumi, K.; Mizobe, Y.; Hidai, M. Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 3303.
- (13) Brunner, H.; Janietz, N.; Meier, W.; Sergeson, G.; Wachter, J.; Zahn, T.; Ziegler, M. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 1060. Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 1056. Weberg, R. T.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Rakowski DuBois, M. New. J. Chem. 1988, 12, 361.
- (14) For related structures, see the following. [(triphos)RhS₂]₂²⁺: Bianchini, C.; Meli, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 1345. (MeC₅H₄)₂Ru₂(PPh₃)₂-(Se₂)₂²⁺: Amarasekera, J.; Houser, E. J.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Stern, C. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 1614. (C₅Me₅)₂Cr₂(NO)₂(S₂)₂: Herberhold, M.; Guo, J.; Rheingold, A. L. Z. Naturforsch. **1993**, *48B*, 1488.
- (15) Giolando, D. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. Organometallics 1984, 3, 487.

Experimental Section

Materials. The hydrated trichlorides of iridium, rhodium, and ruthenium were obtained from PGM Ltd. $[(C_5Me_5)IrCl_2]_2$,²⁴ $[(C_5Me_5)RhCl_2]_2$,²⁴ and $(C_5Me_5)_2Ru_2S_4$ ²⁵ were prepared according to literature methods. $[(EtC_5Me_4)RhCl_2]_2$ was prepared analogously to $[(C_5Me_5)RhCl_2]_2$ using C_5Me_4EtH in place of C_5Me_5H . HO₃SCF₃ was purchased from Aldrich. Acetonitrile and Et₂O were distilled from CaH₂ and Na/K alloy, respectively. Acetone was deoxygenated before use.

Methods. Elemental analyses were performed by the University of Illinois Microanalytical Laboratory. Positive-ion fast atom bombardment mass spectra were recorded on a VG ZAB-SE. Solution ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian U400 spectrometer, except for variable-temperature measurements on the acetonylthio group, where we used a GE500 instrument. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Mattson Galaxy 3000 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. Unless otherwise stated, preparative operations were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques.

[(C₅Me₅)₃RhRu₂S₄(CH₃CN)](PF₆)₂ (1(PF₆)₂). A 150 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 0.32 g (0.513 mmol) of [(C5Me5)RhCl2]2 and 0.55 g (2.15 mmol) of AgPF₆, followed by 30 mL of MeCN. After 3 h, the yellow slurry was filtered through 2 g of Celite in a Schlenk filter to remove AgCl. The Celite was washed with 40 mL of CH₃CN, and the yellow filtrate was added to a solution of 0.616 g (0.103 mmol) of (C5Me5)2Ru2S4 in 30 mL of THF. After the red-brown solution was stirred overnight, the solvent was removed, and the brown solid was extracted into 15 mL of MeCN. This extract was filtered from a darkbrown residue into 50 mL of Et₂O, resulting in a red-brown powder. Two further recrystallizations from MeCN-Et₂O gave rust-colored 1(PF₆)₂. Yield: 0.51 g (85%). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 25 °C): δ 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.92 (s, 15H), 1.90 (s, 15H), 1.63 (s, 15H). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 80 °C): δ 1.97 (3H), 1.94 (br s, 30H), 1.65 (s, 15H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 105.3 (s, C₅Me₅), 104.1 (s, C₅Me₅), 103.9 (d, C₅Me₅, J = 24 Hz), 11.48 (s, C₅Me₅), 11.34 (s, C₅Me₅), 9.52 (s, C₅Me₅). FAB MS: m/z 840 (M⁺). IR (KBr): $\nu_{PF} = 840 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for C32H48F12NP2RhRu2S4: C, 32.85; H, 4.14; N, 1.19. Found: C, 32.67; H, 4.43; N, 0.80.

[(C₅Me₄Et)(C₅Me₅)₂RhRu₂S₄(MeCN)](PF₆)₂ (2(PF₆)₂). A 150 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 0.100 g (0.155 mmol) of [(C5Me4Et)-RhCl₂]₂, 0.164 g (0.650 mmol) of AgPF₆, and 20 mL of MeCN. After 3 h, the lemon-yellow slurry was filtered through Celite to remove AgCl. The Celite was washed with 40 mL of MeCN, and the yellow filtrate was transferred to a solution of 0.186 g (0.310 mmol) of (C₅Me₅)₂Ru₂S₄ in 30 mL of THF, resulting in a red-brown solution. After 12 h, the solvent was removed. The red-brown solid was extracted into 7 mL of MeCN, and this solution was filtered away from a small amount of a brown-black solid. The red-brown filtrate was transferred by cannula into 40 mL of Et₂O. The resulting brown solid was purified by extraction into 7 mL of MeCN, followed by addition of this solution to 37 mL of Et₂O to give the dark-brown solid [(C₅-Me₄Et)(C₅Me₅)₂RhRu₂S₄(MeCN)](PF₆)₂. Yield: 0.160 g (87%). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 20 °C): δ 2.06 (q, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.92 (s, 15H), 1.89 (s, 15H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.07 (t, 3H). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 80 °C): δ 2.10 (q, 2H), 1.96 (3H), 1.92 (s, 30H), 1.68 (s, 6H), 1.66 (s, 6H), 1.07 (t, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 106.5–104.1 (unresolved C₅R₅ signals), 18.0 (s, CH₂CH₃), 12.5 (s, CH₂CH₃), 11.50 (s, C₅Me₅), 11.34 (s, C₅Me₅), 9.53 (s, C₅Me₄-Et), 9.48 (s, C₅Me₄Et), 9.40 (s, C₅Me₄Et), 9.32 (s, C₅Me₄Et). FAB MS (matrix = 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol): m/z 853 (M⁺), 1007 (M⁺ + 3-NBA). Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₅₀F₁₂NP₂RhRu₂S₄: C, 33.47; H, 4.26; N, 1.18. Found: C, 32.41; H, 3.93; N, 1.31.

 $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]PF_6$ (3(PF₆)). A 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 0.100 g (0.088 mmol) $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(Me-CN)](PF_6)_2$ and 30 mL of acetone. After 4 h of stirring, the solvent was removed and the red solid was extracted into 10 mL of acetone. This red solution was filtered from a brown residue. The filtrate was concentrated to ~2 mL and diluted with 20 mL of Et₂O to give red microcrystals of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]PF_6$. Yield: 0.070

Table 3. Crystal Data for $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](SbF_6)_2$ (1(SbF₆)₂)

()_)	
formula	C32H48F12NRhRu2S4Sb2
fw	1351.50
space group	$P\overline{1}$
a, Å	8.3401(6)
b, Å	13.2413(10)
<i>c</i> , Å	20.1748(16)
α, deg	84.898(1)
β , deg	89.620(1)
γ , deg	84.720(1)
V, Å ³	2209.74
Ζ	2
$d_{\text{calc}}, \text{g/cm}^3$	2.031
μ , cm ⁻¹	25.0
radiation (monochromated	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.710~73$ Å)
in incident beam)	
temp, °C	198(2)
R_1^a	0.0476
$\mathrm{w}R_2{}^b$	0.1048

^{*a*} $R_1 = \sum ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_0|$. ^{*b*} $wR_2 = (\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w |F_0|^2)^{1/2}$.

g (76%). ¹H NMR ((CD₃)₂CO): δ 3.93 (s, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 15H), 1.80 (s, 30H). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 3.78 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 15H), 1.71 (s, 30H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 205.9 (s, CO), 97.8 (s, C₃Me₅), 97.6 (d, C₅Me₅, J = 24), 42.9 (s, CH₂COCH₃), 30.7 (s, CH₂COCH₃), 11.2 (s, C₅Me₅), 10.1 (s, C₃Me₅). FAB MS: m/z 897 (M⁺). IR (KBr): $\nu_{PF} = 841$ cm⁻¹, $\nu_{CO} = 1705$ cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₅₀F₆OPRhRu₂S₄: C, 38.05; H, 4.90. Found: C, 37.68; H, 4.88. An 8 × 10⁻³ M solution of 1²⁺ was completely converted within 30 min, while a 2.4 × 10⁻² M solution required 5 h. A saturated solution of 1²⁺ (~1.5 M) required days to convert to the acetonyl derivative.

Reaction of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]PF_6$ with HOTf. To a solution of 0.020 g (0.0191 mmol) of $[(C_5Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2-COCH_3)]PF_6$ in 2 mL of MeCN was added 0.003 mL (0.0341 mmol) of HOTf. Within 30 min, the solution color changed from red to redbrown. After a further 30 min, the solution was concentrated to ~1 mL and added to 10 mL of Et₂O to give a brown powder of $[(C_5Me_5)_3-RhRu_2S_4(MeCN)](PF_6)(OTf)$ in 82% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of a CD₃CN solution of this species matched that found for $1(PF_6)_2$.

[(C5Me5)3IrRu2S4(MeCN)](PF6)2 (4(PF6)2). A 150 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 0.151 g (0.190 mmol) of [(C5Me5)IrCl2]2, 0.200 g (0.796 mmol) of AgPF₆, and 30 mL of MeCN. After 2 h, the pale vellow slurry was filtered through 2 g of Celite in a Schlenk filter to remove AgCl. The Celite was washed with 60 mL of MeCN, and the MeCN solution was added to a solution of 0.228 g (0.380 mmol) of (C₅Me₅)₂Ru₂S₄ in 30 mL of THF. The resulting green-brown solution was heated at reflux for 6 h. After the solution cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed. The product was purified by extraction into 7 mL of MeCN, followed by the addition of this solution to 30 mL of Et₂O to give a green powder. Yield: 0.090 g (38%). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.92 (s, 15H), 1.90 (s, 15H), 1.70 (s, 15H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 105.0 (s, C₅Me₅), 103.9 (s, C₅-Me₅), 97.8 (s, C₅Me₅), 11.53 (s, C₅Me₅), 11.43 (s, C₅Me₅), 9.05 (s, C₅Me₅). FAB MS: m/z 929 (M⁺), 1080 (M⁺ + 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol). Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₄₈F₁₂IrNP₂Ru₂S₄: C, 30.52; H, 3.84; N, 1.11. Found: C, 29.71; H, 4.02; N, 0.60.

Crystallography of [(C_5Me_5)₃**RhRu**₂**S**₄(MeCN)](**SbF**₆)₂. Crystals of [(C_5Me_5)₃**RhRu**₂**S**₄(MeCN)](**SbF**₆)₂ were grown by vapor diffusion of ether into an acetonitrile solution of [(C_5Me_5)₃**RhRu**₂**S**₄(MeCN)]-(**SbF**₆)₂. The platelike yellow data crystal was mounted to a thin glass fiber using Paratone-N oil (Exxon). The sample was bound by faces (100), ($\overline{100}$), (011), (011), (011), (011), and (103). Distances from the crystal center to these facial boundaries were 0.240, 0.200, 0.140, 0.080, 0.010, 0.010, and 0.090 mm, respectively. Data were collected on a Siemens 3-circle platform with a CCD area detector. Crystal and refinement details are given in Table 3. Systematic conditions suggested the ambiguous space group *P*1 or *P*1; on the basis of *E* statistics, the latter was chosen. Standard intensities monitored during frame collection showed no decay. Intensity data were reduced by

⁽²⁴⁾ White, C.; Yates, A.; Maitlis, P. M. Inorg. Synth. 1992, 29, 228.

⁽²⁵⁾ Houser, E. J.; Dev, S.; Ogilvy, A. E.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 4678.

3d-profile analysis using SAINT²⁶ and corrected for Lorentz–polarization effects and for absorption (ψ -scan absorption correction). One reflection with a poor resolution (10 Å) was suppressed. Scattering factors and anomalous dispersion terms were taken from standard tables.²⁷

The structure was solved using direct methods.²⁸ Subsequent cycles of isotropic least-squares refinement followed by an unweighted difference Fourier synthesis revealed positions for the remaining non-H atoms. The assignment of Rh and Ru atoms were based on the structure of the starting material and NMR studies of the trinuclear complex. Methyl H atom positions were optimized by rotation about R-C bonds with idealized C-H and H ... H distances. Remaining H atoms were included as fixed idealized contributors. H atom U's were assigned as 1.2 times the U_{eq} values of adjacent C atoms. Non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal coefficients. Successful convergence of the full-matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 was indicated by the maximum shift/error for the last cycle.²⁹ One of the SbF₆ anions was found to be disordered. The disordered F atoms were subjected to the restraints of being individually equidistant from the Sb center as well as from each other. The U's of the atoms in the disordered anion were constrained to be similar within a standard deviation of 0.02 and 0.04. Because the disorder in the anion did not affect the cation, this disorder was not addressed further. The highest peaks in the final difference Fourier map were in the vicinity of the Sb atoms; the final map had no other significant features. A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed no dependence on amplitude or resolution.

Crystallography of [(C_5Me_5)₃**RhRu**₂**S**₃(**SCH**₂**COCH**₃)]**BF**₄. Crystals of [(C_5Me_5)₃**RhRu**₂**S**₃(**SCH**₂**COCH**₃)]**BF**₄ were grown by diffusion of hexanes into an acetone solution of [(C_5Me_5)₃**Rh**(MeCN)Ru₂**S**₄]-(**BF**₄)₂. The platelike dark-red data crystal was mounted to a thin glass fiber using Paratone oil (Exxon) with scattering planes roughly normal to the spindle axis. The data crystal was bound by the (100), ($\overline{100}$), ($01\overline{1}$), ($02\overline{1}$), and ($02\overline{1}$) faces. Distances from the crystal center to these facial boundaries were 0.02, 0.02, 0.34, 0.34, 0.36, and 0.36 mm, respectively. Data were measured at 198 K on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer. Crystal and refinement details are given in Table 4. Systematic conditions suggested the space group *P*2/*c*; refinement confirmed the presence of a symmetry center. Three standard intensity data were reduced by profile analysis³⁰ and corrected for Lorentz–polarization effects and for absorption.²⁶ Scattering factors and anomalous dispersion terms were taken from standard tables.²⁷

- (27) (a) Wilson, A. J. C., Ed. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; Vol. C, pp 219–222, 500, 500–502. (b) For anomalous dispersion corrections, see p 219 of this reference.
- (28) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-86. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 467.
- (29) SHELX-76 and SHELXL-93 by G. M. Sheldrick.
- (30) Coppens, P.; Blessing, R. H.; Becker, P. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1972, 7, 488.

Table 4. Crystal Data for $[(C_3Me_5)_3RhRu_2S_3(SCH_2COCH_3)]BF_4$ (4(BF₄))

`	**	
	formula	C33H50BF4ORhRu2S4
	fw	982.83
	space group	P2/c
	a, Å	11.846(3)
	b, Å	19.859(4)
	<i>c</i> , Å	16.304(5)
	α , deg	90
	β , deg	101.70(2)
	γ , deg	90
	$V, Å^3$	3756(2)
	Z	4
	$d_{\rm calc}, {\rm g/cm^3}$	1.738
	μ , cm ⁻¹	14.97
	radiation (monochromated	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.710~73$ Å)
	in incident beam)	· · · · · ·
	temp, °C	198(2)
	R_1^a	0.0364
	WR_2^b	0.0896
a	$R_1 = \sum F_0 - F_c / \sum F_0 $. ^b wR ₂ =	$(\sum w(F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})^2 / \sum w F_{\rm o} ^2)^{1/2}.$

The structure was solved by direct methods;²⁸ correct positions for Rh and Ru atoms were deduced from an E map. Further cycles of isotropic least-squares refinement followed by an unweighted difference Fourier synthesis revealed positions for other non-hydrogen atoms. Methyl hydrogen atom positions, R-CH₃, were optimized by rotation about R-C bonds with idealized C-H and H--H distances. The remaining hydrogen atoms were included as fixed idealized contributors. Hydrogen atom U's were assigned as 1.2 times the U_{eq} values of adjacent non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal coefficients. Successful convergence of the full-matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 was indicated by the maximum shift/error for the last cycle. The highest peak in the final difference Fourier map was in the vicinity of the H33A, Rh, and Ru atoms. The final map had no other significant features. A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed no dependence on amplitude or resolution.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation. Crystallographic data were collected by Ms. Theresa Prussak, and we thank Dr. Vera Mainz for assistance in the NMR measurements.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, nonbonding distances of core atoms, and least-squares planes (43 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC9609564

⁽²⁶⁾ SAINT V4, SHELXTL V5, SMART V4; Siemens Industrial Automation, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1994.