Efficient RNA 5′-adenylation by T4 DNA ligase to facilitate practical applications
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ABSTRACT
We describe a simple procedure for RNA 5′-adenylation using T4 DNA ligase. The 5′-monophosphorylated terminus of an RNA substrate is annealed to a complementary DNA strand that has a 3′-overhang of 10 nucleotides. Then, T4 DNA ligase and ATP are used to synthesize 5′-adenylated RNA (5′-AppRNA), which should find use in a variety of practical applications. In the absence of an acceptor nucleic acid strand, the two-step T4 DNA ligase mechanism is successfully interrupted after the adenylation step, providing 40%-80% yield of 5′-AppRNA after PAGE purification with few side products (the yield varies with RNA sequence). Optimized reaction conditions are described for 5′-adenylating RNA substrates of essentially any length including long and structured RNAs, without need for sequestration of the RNA 3′-terminus to avoid circularization. The new procedure is applicable on the preparative nanomole scale. This 5′-adenylation strategy using T4 DNA ligase is a substantial improvement over our recently reported adenylation method that uses T4 RNA ligase, which often leads to substantial amounts of side products and requires careful optimization for each RNA substrate. Efficient synthetic access to 5′-adenylated RNA will facilitate a range of applications by providing substrates for in vitro selection; by establishing a new protocol for RNA 5′-capping; and by providing an alternative approach for labeling RNA with 32P or biophysical probes at the 5′-terminus.
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INTRODUCTION
We recently described a practical approach for the synthesis of 5′-adenylated1 RNA (5′-AppRNA), which is RNA that has a 5′,5′-adenyl pyrophosphoryl cap structure (Fig. 1A; Silverman 2004). The 5′-AppRNA is desirable to investigate natural biochemical pathways in which such intermediates participate (for references, see Silverman 2004). The 5′-AppRNA will also be useful for in vitro selection procedures to identify nucleic acid enzymes that use 5′-AppRNA as a reactive RNA substrate (Hager and Szostak 1997; Coppins and Silverman 2004, 2005), as well as for applications such as RNA 5′-capping and 5′-labeling. In our previous report (Silverman 2004), the protein enzyme T4 RNA ligase was used along with a complementary DNA oligonucleotide (Fig. 1B) to achieve the net transfer of AMP onto the 5′-monophosphorylated terminus of the desired RNA substrate. This approach successfully interrupts the natural T4 RNA ligase mechanism, which normally does not stop at 5′-AppRNA but instead continues to join a 3′-hydroxyl group of a second RNA substrate to the first RNA substrate with loss of AMP and formation of ligated RNA. Although substantial quantities of 5′-AppRNA can indeed be generated using T4 RNA ligase by our previous strategy, the approach has certain drawbacks. Principal among these is that several side products are formed via undesired secondary reactions of the 5′-AppRNA. These side products are formed by RNA circularization or oligomerization, or they are formed by ligation to the RNA of a DNA “blocking oligonucleotide” that was included to suppress the first two side reactions. Ultimately, the formation of these side products means that the overall adenylation strategy using T4 RNA ligase must be optimized carefully for each individual substrate, and for certain RNA sequences the side products are formed in substantial amounts even after optimization. We therefore sought other approaches to prepare 5′-AppRNA that would not be subject to the same disadvantages.

The impetus for our previous report (Silverman 2004) was the earlier finding by Chiuman and Li (2002) that 5′-adenylated DNA (5′-AppDNA) can be synthesized in a related fashion by using 5′-monophosphorylated DNA and T4 DNA ligase. Because T4 DNA ligase generally requires a double-stranded substrate whereas T4 RNA ligase requires
a single-stranded substrate, certain key aspects of the RNA 5’-adenylation procedures are different. In preliminary studies for our previous report, we were unsuccessful in attempting to apply T4 DNA ligase to form 5’-AppRNA. Nevertheless, the disadvantages of T4 RNA ligase for 5’-AppRNA synthesis (as described above) led us to reevaluate the ability of T4 DNA ligase to perform this function. Here we report that T4 DNA ligase can indeed be used along with a complementary DNA oligonucleotide (Fig. 1C) to prepare 5’-AppRNA in good yield. Furthermore, the use of T4 DNA ligase avoids formation of most of the side products that are formed with T4 RNA ligase, and the need for careful optimization of reaction conditions for individual RNA substrates is substantially reduced. Therefore, T4 DNA ligase is superior to T4 RNA ligase for RNA 5’-adenylation. We anticipate that the establishment of this user-friendly synthetic approach will facilitate practical applications of 5’-AppRNA for in vitro selection, RNA 5’-capping, and RNA 5’-labeling with 32P or biophysical probes.

RESULTS

5’-Adenylation of RNA using T4 DNA ligase, ATP, and a complementary DNA oligonucleotide that provides a recessed RNA 5’-end

Our previously reported strategy for RNA 5’-adenylation using T4 RNA ligase subverts the second (ligation) step of the enzyme’s natural mechanism by sequestering the 3’-terminus of the RNA substrate within an RNA:DNA duplex (Silverman 2004). However, the 5’-terminus of the RNA substrate remains single-stranded as a 5’-overhang, thereby permitting the first (adenylation) step of the mechanism (Fig. 1B). Because T4 DNA ligase instead requires a double-stranded substrate (Chiuman and Li 2002), the RNA adenylation substrate was rendered double-stranded by annealing it to a DNA oligonucleotide that creates a recessed RNA 5’-end (i.e., a DNA 3’-overhang; Fig. 1C). For T4 DNA ligase and a DNA substrate, this arrangement is sufficient to enable the adenylation step, but without an acceptor oligonucleotide also bound in the duplex, no further reaction occurs (Chiuman and Li 2002). Here we observe the same phenomenon for RNA 5’-adenylation with T4 DNA ligase, using 5’-phosphorylated 17-mer RNA substrate S1 as the test oligonucleotide. The length of the 3’-overhang on the complementary DNA oligonucleotide strongly affects the efficiency of adenylation; longer overhangs are better (Fig. 2). Because the rate and the yield were highest with 10 overhanging DNA nucleotides (of the lengths tested), we used DNA complements that provide a 10-nt DNA overhang for the remainder of our experiments. As a functional check on the structure of the 5’-AppRNA synthesized using T4 DNA ligase, adenylation RNA oligonucleotide 5’-App-S1 was shown to be fully competent for ligation to the 3’-terminus of a DNA strand, using an exactly complementary DNA oligonucleotide as a splint and

FIGURE 1. 5’-Adenylation RNA and its synthesis using protein enzymes. (A) Structure of 5’-adenylated RNA (5’-AppRNA). X denotes the nucleobase at the 5’-terminus of the RNA strand that is 5’-adenylated. (B) Synthesis of 5’-AppRNA using T4 RNA ligase and a complementary DNA oligonucleotide that leaves an RNA 5’-overhang (Silverman 2004). (C) Synthesis of 5’-AppRNA using T4 DNA ligase and a complementary DNA oligonucleotide that leaves a recessed RNA 5’-terminus (DNA 3’-overhang), as reported here.

FIGURE 2. Determining the DNA overhang requirement for RNA 5’-adenylation with T4 DNA ligase and ATP. These assays used RNA substrate S1 (5’-GGAGAGAGUUGCGACCG-3’) and were performed in 40 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT, and 500 μM ATP at 37°C (5 μM RNA; t = 0 h, 2 h, 8 h, and 24 h). The yields of 5’-AppRNA for DNA overhang lengths of 1 nt, 5 nt, and 10 nt were 8%, 26%, and 37% under these conditions, indicating that the longer 10-nt overhang is preferred. A 20-nt DNA overhang led to approximately the same 5’-adenylation yield as a 10-nt overhang (data not shown). Additional studies defined optimal incubation conditions that differ in several ways from those used in this particular experiment (see text).
T4 DNA ligase (~95% ligation yield in <1 min without adding ATP; data not shown).

We optimized a number of additional variables for adenylation of S1: ATP concentration, reaction temperature, pH value, Mg2+ concentration, and enzyme concentration (data not shown). For ATP concentrations between 0.5 and 10 mM, the highest yield of adenylation product with the least amount of side products was achieved at the relatively high ATP concentration of 10 mM (Nilsson et al. 2001; higher concentrations were not tested). Of 16°C, 25°C, and 37°C, the highest ligation yield was observed at 25°C. Between pH 6.0 and 9.3, the optimal pH value was 7.0. Between 2 and 20 mM MgCl2, the optimal Mg2+ concentration was 10 mM. Finally, between 0.1 and 3 U/μL of T4 DNA ligase, the optimal enzyme concentration was 3 U/μL (higher concentrations were not tested).

Establishing generality of the approach using other RNA adenylation substrates

For general application of the new RNA 5′-adenylation strategy, a wide range of RNA substrates must be tolerated. As shown in Figure 3, generality was established using RNA oligonucleotide substrates S2–S9 (Silverman 2004). In each case, we used a complementary DNA oligonucleotide with a 10 nt overhang and the optimal reaction conditions determined above (40 mM MOPS at pH 7.0, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT, and 10 mM ATP with 3 U/μL T4 DNA ligase at 25°C; Fig. 3). Oligonucleotides S2–S4 have the same sequence as S1 except with one of the other three nucleotides at the 5′-terminus; their successful adenylation demonstrates that all four RNA 5′-terminal nucleotides may be adenylated using T4 DNA ligase. Indeed, these assays showed that S1 was coincidentally adenylated less efficiently than the other three RNAs S2–S4. Substrates S5–S8 have considerably different sequence compositions and purine-pyrimidine ratios than S1, further establishing the generality of RNA 5′-adenylation with T4 DNA ligase.

Medium-length 34-mer RNA oligonucleotide S9 is sufficiently long such that a tightly bound 17-mer DNA oligonucleotide complementary to the 5′-end of S9 leaves the 3′-end of the RNA as a long (17-nt) single-stranded tail. For RNA adenylation using T4 RNA ligase, this arrangement led to rapid circularization immediately following adenylation, which necessitated the use of an additional complementary DNA oligonucleotide to block (sequester) the 3′-terminus (Silverman 2004). In contrast, here we found that RNA adenylation mediated by T4 DNA ligase does not require sequestration of the RNA 3′-terminus (Fig. 3). This substantially simplifies the planning and execution of the overall RNA 5′-adenylation strategy.

5′-Adenylation of a long and structured RNA substrate

We sought to 5′-adenylate a long, structured RNA substrate in addition to the short oligonucleotides S1–S8 and the medium-length S9. For this purpose we tested adenylation of P4–P6, a 160-nt independently folding domain of the Tetrahymena group I intron RNA (Murphy and Cech 1993; Cate et al. 1996; Juneau et al. 2001). The strategy of using a DNA oligonucleotide complementary to the 5′-terminus with a 10-nt DNA 3′-overhang was successful, as revealed by deoxyribozyme cleavage of the 5′-terminal portion of the RNA after adenylation (Fig. 4). In this experiment, it is notable that no special action was required with respect to the 3′-terminus of the P4–P6 RNA, which remained unmodified and unsequestered. This is in contrast to 5′-adenylation using T4 RNA ligase, for which the 3′-end of the long P4–P6 RNA substrate needed to be either covalently modified or otherwise blocked to avoid side reactions (Silverman 2004).

Preparative-scale RNA 5′-adenylation

Finally, we demonstrated that the T4 DNA ligase strategy can be applied for preparative-scale (nanomole) RNA 5′-adenylation. Using RNA substrates S1, S2, S6, and S7, 5′-adenylations were performed on the 1-nmol scale. The isolated yields of 5′-AppRNA after gel extraction and ethanol precipitation were 0.44–0.76 nmol (44%–76%). In all four cases, MALDI-MS confirmed the correct molecular weight within 0.1% for the 5′-AppRNA.

FIGURE 3. Establishing generality of the RNA 5′-adenylation strategy using RNA substrates S1–S9 and optimal reaction conditions of 40 mM MOPS (pH 7.0), 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT, and 10 mM ATP at 25°C (1 μM RNA; t = 0 h, 8 h, and 24 h). For S9, the full complement leaves a blunt RNA 3′-terminus, whereas the partial complement leaves 17 nt of RNA unpaired at the 3′-terminus. See Materials and Methods for RNA sequences. The gel images have been aligned vertically solely for presentation purposes. Unlike in the experiments of Figure 2, which were not performed under these optimal reaction conditions, essentially no side products were observed here (<2%; data not shown). We attribute this largely to the elevated ATP concentration (Nilsson et al. 2001).
for which 5′-triphosphates are difficult to prepare and 5′-adenylates serve as close functional surrogates (Hager and Szostak 1997; Coppins and Silverman 2004, 2005). Because 5′-adenylated RNA should be resistant to exonuclease degradation from the 5′-terminus, 5′-capping of RNA by adenylation should be a useful RNA modification, perhaps for in vivo applications. Because the α-phosphate of ATP is transferred to the adenylate portion of the 5′-AppRNA (Fig. 1), RNA 5′-adenylation using T4 DNA ligase and α-32P-ATP is an alternative approach to RNA 5′-radio-labeling that may be of practical utility. Finally, because the adenylation moiety has a ribose 2′,3′-diol group that may be oxidized with sodium periodate to aldehydes, RNA 5′-adenylation enables labeling of the 5′-terminus by subsequent reductive amination or other coupling reactions using a variety of amine reagents combined with biophysical probes (Wells and Cantor 1977; Odom et al. 1980; Proud-nikov and Mirzabekov 1996). This overall 5′-labeling approach will be particularly useful when a method for RNA labeling is sought that does not require incorporation by solid-phase synthesis of special 5′-terminal RNA nucleotides and the RNA 3′-terminus cannot be used as a modification site, e.g., when it is blocked as a 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Oligonucleotide preparation and sequences**

RNA substrate oligonucleotides S1–S9 each terminating in a 2′,3′-diol were prepared by solid-phase synthesis (Dharmacon, Inc. or HHMI-Keck Laboratory, Yale University). Their nucleotide sequences are as follows: S1, 5′-GGAAAGAAGUUGCCAGG-3′; S2, S3, and S4 are the same as S1 except the first nucleotide was changed to A, U, and C, respectively; S5, 5′-AUGUUCCGGAAAG-3′; S6, 5′-CUUUCCGGCAUUC-3′; S7, 5′-UAUAUACGAUCACUAUA-3′; S8, 5′-UGUGCCAGCUCUGUA-3′; S9, 5′-UACUGACUCACUAUAGGAGAUAGGCGG-3′. Note that the sequence of S9 is the sequence of S7 plus 17 additional nt (which correspond to the sequence of S1). DNA oligonucleotides were obtained from IDT. All RNA and DNA oligonucleotides were purified by 20% denaturing PAGE followed by ethanol precipitation and quantified by absorbance \( (A_{260}) \). The RNA substrates were 5′-phosphorylated with γ-32P-ATP (Perkin-Elmer) and T4 PNK (Fermentas) and purified by PAGE. For the preparative-scale adenylation reactions, the RNA substrates were 5′-phosphorylated in 2-nmol portions (1 mM ATP, 10 U T4 PNK from Fermentas, 1 × PNK buffer, 50-μL reaction volume, 37°C, 2-4 h), purified by PAGE, and requantified by absorbance.

The DNA oligonucleotides for use with S1–S8 were complementary to the above RNA sequences and including the desired length of DNA 3′-overhang. The overhang sequence that provides up to 10 nt of 3′-overhang was all or part of... TATAGTGGTT-3′ except for S7 and S9, for which the overhang was all or part of... CGGAGACACG-3′ (to avoid improper secondary structure formation with the RNA). The DNA oligonucleotides for 5′-adenylation of S9 was either fully complementary to S9 or complementary.
RNA 5′-adenylation procedure

The optimal analytical-scale 5′-adenylation procedure (Fig. 3) was as follows: A sample containing 0.25 pmol of 5′-32P-radiolabeled RNA substrate, 10 pmol of unlabeled 5′-adenylylated RNA substrate, and 30 pmol of DNA oligonucleotide in 8 μL of 5 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 15 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM EDTA was annealed by heating to 95°C for 3 min and cooling on ice for 5 min. The solution was brought to 10-μL total volume containing 40 mM MOPS (pH 7.0), 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT, 10 mM ATP, and 30 Weiss units of T4 DNA ligase (MBI Fermentas). The sample was fully immersed in a 37°C water bath. At appropriate time points, 1.5-μL aliquots were quenched into 8 μL of stop solution (80% formamide, 1× TB, 50 mM EDTA, and 0.25% each bromophenol blue and xylene cyanol, where 1× TB contains 89 mM each Tris and boric acid at pH 8.3). Quenched aliquots were electrophoresed on 20% denaturing PAGE and imaged with a PhosphorImager (Fig. 2, 3). Use of the 8–17 deoxyribonuclease to determine 5′-adenylation of the P4–P6 RNA (Fig. 4) was described previously (Silverman 2004).

For the preparative-scale 5′-adenylation reactions, 1 nmol of 5′-phosphorylated RNA was annealed in a 60-μL volume and incubated in 100-μL total volume (10 μM RNA) at 25°C for 24 h using the procedure described above. Samples were separated on 20% denaturing PAGE and extracted as described (Wang and Silverman 2003b). The yields of 5′-adenylated S1, S2, S6, and S7 were 0.38, 0.76, 0.44, and 0.71 nmol, which parallel the yields in the analytical-scale assay of Figure 3. Because of the 10-nt DNA 3′-overhang, the RNA and DNA were readily separated. In other preparative 5′-adenylation reactions using an RNA substrate synthesized by in vitro transcription from a double-stranded DNA template (Milligan et al. 1987), we have successfully used the antisense DNA template strand as the DNA oligonucleotide for 5′-adenylation (data not shown).

MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of the 5′-adenylated RNA

MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry was used to confirm the identities of the 5′-adenylated RNAs synthesized on the 1-nmol scale. The observed (calculated) mass values for 5′-AppRNA were as follows: S1, 6017 (6017); S2, 6006 (6001); S6, 5014 (5018); S7, 5747 (5748). Mass spectra were obtained on an Applied Biosystems Voyager DE-STR instrument in the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory of the UIUC School of Chemical Sciences.